102 MONITOR

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

VOLUME 8
NO. 7

OCTOBER 1978

EPA DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR BARBARA BLUM ADDRESSES A LUNCHEON FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW INSTITUTE, WASHINGTON, D.C. on OCTOBER 16, 1978

Contents: See inside cover.

Questions or comments on this publication should be addressed to:

EDITOR, 102 MONITOR
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Federal Activities (A-104)
401 M Street, SW
Washington, DC 20460

or telephone (202) 755-0780

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Subject	Page
Remarks by the Honorable Barbara Blum, Deputy Administrator, EPA to the Environmental Law Institute	1
Agency Index of EIS's Filed during AUGUST 1978	15
State Index of EIS's Filed during AUGUST 1978	20
EIS's Filed during AUGUST 1978	25.1
Agency Summary of EIS's Filed during AUGUST 1978	26
Cumulative Summary of EIS's Filed as of AUGUST 1978	81
Subscription Information	82

REMARKS BY THE HONORABLE BARBARA BLUM DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY LUNCHEON SPEECH FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW INSTITUTE WASHINGTON, D.C., Monday, October 16, 1978

Thank you very much for inviting me to address this session of the Environmental Law Institute. At the Environmental Protection Agency, we know that these meetings serve an important purpose in increasing understanding of the developing complex body of environmental law. We're grateful for the opportunity for a constructive give and take which affords a frank exchange of views.

It is not that we do not get to know many of you. Some people sue us arguing that we do our job too zealously, and others sue us because you say we do not do it zealously enough. But meetings like this allow us to consider the law in a way that is at least not formally adversary.

There is obviously an essential role for this development of adversary facts and positions. But for those persons who are not only knowledgeable about their field, those who sincerely care about it, or those who profess a common purpose, there needs to be a recurring opportunity for a non-adversary sharing of thinking and experience.

It may be a trifle ungrateful for a guest of a legal group to suggest that there is too much of an adversary relationship in the field of environmental protection. But maybe it's safe to do so as long as your clients aren't here. I was, however, glad to see the same opinion expressed in the American Bar Association's exposure draft of "Federal Regulation : Roads to Reform."

Somehow, somewhere, there has developed the myth that it is inappropriate for us regulators to be interested in things like free enterprise, inflation and economic growth. That myth has been supported by another myth: That economic growth and environmental protection are fundamentally at odds. These myths deserve to be debunked.

It is pretty obvious, I think, that a healthy environment and a healthy economy are both necessities. You can't have sick people or a sick environment, and you can't have a weak economy, constantly racked by inflation or unemployment. What you can have, indeed what you must have, is an environment which is sound enough to support a productive economy and an economy that makes good use of, but doesn't use up the environment.

Frankly, I do find it tiresome to have our work constantly judged in terms of "selling out the environment." to make life easy for industry" or of "ignoring economic realities in pursuit of some super-idealistic concept of the environment" Sometimes we're attacked in both sets of terms for the very same decision.

We are here to protect the environment, under the terms of laws signed by both Republican and Democratic Presidents. And we are here to uphold our duties under those seven laws at a minimum level of interference with business, industry, local government, State government, and everyone else the law tells us to regulate.

We don't feel that there is an either-or relationship between sound economics and the environment, that pollution is free and cleanup is costly. We don't think that when industries polluted the Cuyahoga River so thoroughly that it could catch on fire there there was no cost to the people of Cleveland, even if the pollution was accomplished at next-

to-no cost by the dumping industries. We don't think that there is no cost to the people of Gary, Indiana, when United States Steel pollutes the air. But neither do we think that there is no cost to Gary when United States Steel shuts down.

There are costs in cleaning up the environment and many of your clients see those costs clearly when faced with the task of litigating pollution. But there are severe costs for economists to measure in public health when pollution continues.

Nor are those the only costs. We can reasonably hope that some day the counterparts of the economists who are so quick to figure the cost of pollution control will learn to calculate the cost of the public health menace of leaving things alone. But I'm not sure that we will ever be able to put a price tag on the ability of a father in Cleveland or Detroit, or Chicago, to take a child fishing.

Let me speak to those of you who fear that we are forgetting our duty to the environment in order to make life easier for business and industry--perhaps on orders from some faceless "they" in this Administration. You are wrong. That is not the kind of Administration that Jimmy Carter, the most dedicated environmentalist to ever occupy that office, wants. Nor is it the kind of Administration I would serve.

And let me speak to those of you who see us as equally narrow-minded from the other side, pursuing the environment at the expense of everything else, especially the interests of business and industry. You are equally wrong. You have to go back several generations ago to find a President with the real business experience of Jimmy Carter, and the consequent understanding of which complaints are real, and which are crocodile tears. And incidentally, I know what it is to meet a payroll from my own business career.

We think that its possible to clean up the environment and do it in a way that avoids unnecessary costs, and in a way that takes account of the difficulties that the sudden changes or adjustments compelled by environmental laws impose. We don't want to put companies out of business, and we don't want people to lose their jobs. But we don't want to be used as an excuse for second-rate managament, either. We don't want to be blamed for some company's distress because we seem like an easier target than the Japanese, the unions, changing consumer tastes, or just a plain old-fashion failure to keep up with the industry.

Let me go into some of the ways we are trying to translate this high-sounding message of collaboration into governmental reality. We do not make the laws, the statutes that say how much polluting material can be tolerated in the air or the water. But we do make the regulations that implement those laws and we don't think those regulations have always been well-made.

There may be no alternative for the cost of a scrubber that removes emission from a power plant smokestack, but there is plenty of alternative for seven forms when one will do. And perhaps if we make the forms and the way we read them clear enough, there will even be alternatives for the cost of lawsuits about them.

Regulatory reform is one of our major interests at EPA. From shortening the time for various actions, to making English the official language of EPA. One effort we made that has gotten some favorable attention lately--even from such a critical observer as James J. Kilpatrick has been the changes in the way we issue pollution control permits.

Wnat we did last month was to announce new procedures that will take some of the uncertainty and some of the cost out of obtaining all the different pollution control permits required to build a new plant or factory.

There are several elements in this plan, and they include a single-individual in each Regional office charged with shepherding the various applications through the bureaucratic maze. We're working to develop a single application, even if the terms of the different-laws do prevent us from developing a single permit covering water, air, solid waste, and everything else.

What I think may be even more important than the substance of these changes is the way that we got there. We didn't start with a blinding flash of inspiration at Headquarters. This process started when Bill Dodge, the environmental control manager at Caterpillar Tractor, wrote us a letter outlining some of the problems and uncertainties in the way we did our business.

At least theoretically, a company could invest millions of dollars in a new factory before learning that the permit which-took-the-longest couldn't be issued.

What happened next may seem strange to some of you with an extensive Federal practice. But the government listened. We thought Bill Dodge made sense. So we got him together with other industry representatives, with leaders of environmental organizations, and with the people in our Agency who write and administer the procedures. And we worked together to eliminate pointless costs.

With industry and environmentalists' cooperation we're looking at a series of reforms we group together as "regulatory market strategies" These recognize that not all methods of controlling pollution are created economically equal and that a more flexible approach may get as much or even more pollution control at less cost.

The potential applications of these strategies include "banking" of pollution control in excess of requirements for later use in plant expansion, various possible ways of trading or selling such accomplishments, and the bubble concept, under which the pollution from an entire plant instead of each individual process is measured. These approaches could enable the operators of a plant to decide for example, if it is cheaper to control one pollution source drastically and another lightly instead of controlling both moderately.

Environmental regulatory problems are not unique to the United States Environmental Protection Agency. As more and more States get interested in the problem and develop their own agencies, substantial parts of the Agency enforcement effort will be transferred to willing-and-capable States. But willing-and-capable does not always mean efficient. We think our experiences and efforts in improving the mangement side of environmental protection can be used by the States. We intend to push for similar efforts by those State agencies who administer Federal laws. We plan to put our money behind our persuasion with grants to help those agencies consolidate their efforts and reduce the unnecessary burdens they may impose on those they regulate.

Those are a few of the ways that we think that we can make regulation cheaper, and thus make cleaning up the environment cheaper.

That goal can sometimes, though not always, be reached as the result of our substantive administrative decisions. When we banned the injection of MMT into unleaded gasoline, we did so because the 1977 Clean Air Act Amendments clearly required us to do so. The manufacturer had not met the Act's burden of showing that the additive didn't interfere with pollution control devices, in fact, there was considerable evidence that it did. That is why we made the decision.

But we were well aware that the conomic consequences of that decision were that not making it would have cost the automobile industry eight to twenty billion dollars to overcome the effects of MMT while the cost to the oil industry for additional refining capacity to make up for the lost additive is on the order of one billion dollars.

Late last month we announced that we could safely change the ambient standard for ozone. We were happy to be able to do so, because the slight change, ultimately, will reduce pollution control costs substantially.

Beyond those changes in how we deal with those we regulate we're at work on a major effort to learn what we have gained from several years of pollution control effort. Specialists within the agency are at work on a series of environmental indices which should, when fully developed, tell the American people what they are getting in exchange for the time, trouble and money that have been expended on behalf of a clean

environment. Publication of these reports has already begun in our northwest regional office; and we are pushing ahead with national measurements.

We also think that we can make some important changes in the way EPA does business internally, changes that should make it easier for you and your clients to deal with us.

We have ten regional offices. While it is essential that much of our work be done outside of Washington, in the past the coordination among the Regions and Headquarters was inadequate. This has lead some to ask if we had ten EPA's and not just one. Sometimes this was the fault of too little direction, but in one interesting case, it was the result of an attempt at too much direction.

We found when we first met with our Regional administrators last year that the annual policy guidance sent out from headquarters was so lengthy and so explicit that it was not used. We now send out that guidance in just five or six pages, and we think it is getting more attention.

On another front the management staff here is working to make sure that our staffing in a regional office corresponds with the environmental problems in that regional office, so that we have more pollution experts in regional offices with more air pollution problems.

Another major emphasis in our work will be a much heavier investment in research to determine as precisely as possible the public health impact of various pollutants and levels of pollution. For some elements of our basic legal charters, such as automobile emissions, congress set a specific standard. For many others, it left the job of deciding what was an "ambient" and "hazardous" standard up to us. We are going to be putting more money and more effort into health effects research on those issues.

That expanded effort is required in one new area in particular. Our duties in regulating toxic chemicals will require us to regulate specific products, rather than overall levels of pollution, as the general focus of the Clean Air and Clean Water Acts has compelled us to do and this plainly requires an expanded research effort to know how dangerous any particular chemical may be.

But our effort will not be limited to that work. The recent amendments to the Clean Air and Clean Water Acts directed us to reassess the standards already set on general levels of pollution. After several years of experience, it is an appropriate time to do so and we will be at work on that effort.

The basic motivation of anti-pollution legislation has not, after all, been esthetic. EPA has been concerned with how pollution was effectively killing us, and we think that we will be in a better position to judge which general standards have proved too-severe and which too-lenient.

Any time we can deal with an issue or a problem before us in a way that will save time, money, and jobs for the American economy, but will not threaten the environment, we intend to do so. That is our position, because we do not want pollution control to be a burden that is resisted. Money saved by reducing unnecessary regulation means more money available for more pollution control, for modernization of plant and equipment, for holding prices down, for dividends for stockholders. Each one of those uses is more desirable than spending on unnecessary regulation. We invite you and your clients to join us, as Caterpillar did, to help find those ways.

Lawsuits are not the only way to settle the difficulties in the administration of a new body of law, and we want to save the expense of unnecessary legal actions whenever we can. We are sure your clients do, also.

Our motiviation is to be a part of an administration committed to balanced economic growth, reducing unemployment, and curbing inflation. We do think of ourselves as part of the federal government in this respect, not as a pristine little regulatory island off on the horizon.

It is that same self-perception that led us to work on developing an urban policy for the Environmental Protection Agency, and to join enthusiastically in developing the Carter Administration's overall urban policy.

Some people think that is kind of quaint for us to shift any part of our attention from the Grand Canyon to the Urban Canyons. But if you think that way, try breathing in Harlem in August. Or contemplate the snow caps of the Rockes, if you can see them through Denver's February smog.

The simple explanation for our concern is that the worst examples of every kind of environmental obscenity can be found in the cities, whether it is bad air, foul water, waste disposal, or whatever, and for many years, the Environmental movement has focused so much of its attention on the wilderness, which is about as accessible to a kid from Harlem or 14th and U, as a 50-yard line seat at yesterday's Redskins' game, that we have been regarded as elitiest. This is a charge with more than a grain of truth to it. EPA will not forget the wilderness, but it is in the Urban Environment that people, particularly inner-city people, are our most endangered species.

There are several concrete ways in which we, at EPA, can help the Nation's cities. The various offset policies I discussed earlier will have their primary impact in areas where the air is already bad, so that they will help preserve the possibilities of economic growth.

We are concerned that our sewage treatment grants have encouraged a suburban sprawl that has stimulated inefficient use of resource. We are now seeking to use those grants to encourage more sensible use of sewage treatment funds.

We are working with the National Urban League and the Conference of Mayors to assist cities in meeting Clean Air deadlines, the deadlines established by Act of Congress that carry severe penalties if not met.

Inner-city residents, compared to suburbanites, suffer from fifty percent higher levels of hypertension, heart disease, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, sight and hearing impairment, cancer and congenital anomalies. This is a social waste -- far more serious than unnecessary paper work imposed from Washington. That's why our cost-effectiveness regulations will no longer support mythical people, when real people are already suffering from uncontrolled pollution.

I have dealt with some of our concerns, and I hope with some of yours. But, I do want to close by addressing this basic question of our environmental mission in a time of a troubled economy once again.

We are not the economic development administration. Our primary concerns are environmental, not economic. If there is no alternative between closing down a polluting factory and continuing an illegal level of pollution -- a level prohibited by act of congress -- we will have that factory closed down.

But we do not believe that extreme case is typical or even frequent. We think that we can work with industry, and with environmental organizations, for a healthy environment, and a healthy economy.

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD					
	DRAFT	OAKLAND AIR SERVICE CASE, DOCKET 30619	80947	08-29-78	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
FOREST SERVICE	FINAL	SABINE UNIT PLAN, SABINE N.F.	80930	08-25-78	(NONE)
	DRAFT	KITTITAS LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN, WENATCHEE NF	80831	08-02-78	(NONE)
		FERRON-PRICE PLANNING UNIT, MANTI-LASAL NF	80882	08-15-78	(NONE)
		UPPER ROGUE PLANNING UNIT, ROGUE RIVER NF	80919	08-24-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	ROGUE RIVER N.F. TIMBER PLAN	80843	08-03-78	(NONE)
		UMPQUA N.F., TIMBER PLAN	80900	08-21-78	(NONE)
		DIAMOND CREEK UNIT, CARIBOU N.F.	80907	08-22-78	(NONE)
		WATERVILLE UNIT PLAN, WHITE MTN. N.F.	80915	08-24-78	(NONE)
RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION					
	DRAFT	115KV TRANSMISSION LINE, LAKE CITY TO CREEDE	80872	08-11-78	(NONE)
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE					
	DRAFT	ECIS DRAKE BAYOU WATERSHED PLAN	80849	08-07-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	SAVANNA RIVER WATERSHED	80854	08-08-78	(NONE)
		WATERSHED PLAN, UPPER SAN MARCOS RIVER	80867	08-10-78	(NONE)
		ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERV. DISTRICT FLOODWAY	80899	08-21-78	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE					
NATL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN.					
	FINAL	NORTH CAROLINA COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	80835	08-02-78	(NONE)
		PUERTO RICO COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	80866	08-10-78	(NONE)
		COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, HAWAII	80883	08-15-78	(NONE)
		COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, MAINE	80929	08-24-78	(NONE)
		COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, MARYLAND	80959	08-30-78	(NONE)
		NEW JERSEY COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	80960	08-31-78	(NONE)
	SUPPLEM	NORTHWEST ATLANTIC MACKEREL FISHERY	80929	08-24-78	(NONE)
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION		HUMBOLDT BAY HARBOR MARINA, WOODLEY ISLAND	80925	08-25-78	(NONE)
		1980 OLYMPIC WINTER GAMES	80895	08-18-78	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMY					
	FINAL	DISPOSAL OF HYDROGEN CYANIDE, TOOELE ARMY DEPOT	80894	08-28-78	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					
	DRAFT	SAVANNAH RIVER PLANT, MGMT. OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE	80830	08-01-78	(NONE)
		STORAGE OF US SPENT POWER REACTOR FUEL	80942	08-29-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	WIND TURBINE GENERATOR SYSTEM	80922	08-25-78	(NONE)

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION	FINAL	CAPE ... GROUP SALT DOMES - SFR	80924	08-25-78	(NONE)
	DRAFT	BPA'S 1979 WHOLESALE RATE INCREASE	80913	08-23-78	(NONE)
	SUPPLEMENTA	1979 FACILITY LOCATION	80931	08-25-78	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF HEW					
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	DRAFT	ANIMAL FEED SUBTHERAPEUTIC ANTIBACTERIAL AGENTS	80836	08-02-78	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	DRAFT	PARTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN DOVER VALLEY, FAIRFIELD	80837	08-03-78	(NONE)
		HOMESTEAD PLANNED COMMUNITY	80955	08-30-78	(NONE)
		WOODLAND HILLS DEVELOPMENT, WOODBURY	80845	08-04-78	(NONE)
		WESTGLEN SUBDIVISION	80850	08-07-78	(NONE)
		FREESAND CREEK SUBDIVISION	80855	08-08-78	(NONE)
		NAKAKILO SUBDIVISION DEVELOPMENT, EWA, OAHU	80875	08-11-78	(NONE)
		SOUTHBROOK ADDITION SUBDIVISION, FORT WORTH	80877	08-14-78	(NONE)
		DISPOSITION OF FAY APARTMENTS, CINCINNATI	80898	08-21-78	(NONE)
		MEEGANS GLEN DEVELOPMENT	80902	08-22-78	(NONE)
		PLAZA RENACIMIENTO, STAGE 3, SAN JUAN	80914	08-23-78	(NONE)
		THE HIGHLANDS PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT	80926	08-25-78	(NONE)
		SPRINGFIELD SUBDIVISION	80936	08-28-78	(NONE)
		WESTBOURNE SUBDIVISION	80941	08-29-78	(NONE)
		PADLOCK SUBDIVISION	80944	08-29-78	(NONE)
		STONE CREEK SUBDIVISION	80950	08-29-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	CONCEPT 80 WEST DEVELOPMENT, LAKEWOOD	80858	08-09-78	(NONE)
		REGENCY WOODS, PROPOSED MASTER PLAN	80873	08-11-78	(NONE)
		VENIS GARDENS DEVELOPMENT	80880	08-14-78	(NONE)
		TALLOW WOOD SUBDIVISION	80897	08-21-78	(NONE)
		CHIMNEY HILL SUBDIVISION	80903	08-22-78	(NONE)
		BEAR CREEK VILLAGE SUBDIVISION	80933	08-28-78	(NONE)
		PARK MEADOWS SUBDIVISION, DEER PARK	80934	08-28-78	(NONE)
		FARMINGTON SUBDIVISION, KNOXVILLE	80935	08-28-78	(NONE)
		OAKLAND PARK TOWERS DEVELOPMENT, TROY	80938	08-28-78	(NONE)
		CHERRY LANE VILLAGE, MERIDIAN	80945	08-29-78	(NONE)
		FIFER'S MEADOW SUBDIVISION	80948	08-29-78	(NONE)
SECTION 104(H)	DRAFT	LINCOLN PARK NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION	80916	08-24-78	(NONE)
		COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, SAN JOSE, 3 YEAR PROGRAM	80887	08-16-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	CAPITOL COMMONS PROJECT, REDEVELOPMENT, LANSING	80838	08-03-78	(NONE)
		EASTERN NORTH PHILADELPHIA PLAN	80865	08-10-78	(NONE)
		ROCHESTER CIRCULATION, CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT	80927	08-25-78	(NONE)
		CHURCH STREET AND HUNTERSVILLE II REDEVELOPMENT	80908	08-23-78	(NONE)

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR					
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS	DRAFT	NAVAJO LAND SELECTION	80804	08-15-78	(NONE)
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	SUPPLEM	FORT MOHAVE LAND SALE (S-2)	80878	08-15-78	(NONE)
	DRAFT	VEGETATION MANAGEMENT WITH HERBICIDES	80846	08-04-78	(NONE)
		PALO VERDE-DEVERS ROCKY TRANSMISSION LINE	80891	08-16-78	(NONE)
	SUPPLEM	CHALLIS PLANNING UNIT, REVISED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	80874	08-11-78	(NONE)
BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION	FINAL	PINE CREEK STATE AND NATIONAL SCENIC RIVER	80868	08-11-78	(NONE)
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	SUPPLEM	STRAWBERRY RESERVOIR ENLARGEMENT, RECREATION PLAN	80829	08-03-78	(NONE)
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	DRAFT	COAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT	80870	08-11-78	(NONE)
		SPRING CREEK MINE, MINING AND RECLAMATION PLAN	80871	08-11-78	(NONE)
		COAL CREEK MINE, MINING AND RECLAMATION PLAN	80918	08-24-78	(NONE)
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	FINAL	GULF ISLAND NATIONAL SEASHORE, PERMIT/CONCEPT PLAN	80869	08-11-78	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	DRAFT	LEXINGTON MARKET STATION JOINT DEVELOPMENT PROJECT	80896	08-18-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	NORTHEAST CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	80863	08-10-78	(NONE)
		GREATER NEW ORLEANS MISS. R. BRIDGE NO. 2	80886	08-16-78	(NONE)
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION	FINAL	ONTARIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT	80834	08-02-78	(NONE)
		CEDAR RAPIDS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT RUNWAY CONSTRUCTION	80921	08-25-78	(NONE)
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION	DRAFT	N.E. 12TH STREET, CITY OF BELLEVUE	80851	08-07-78	(NONE)
		I-85/I-285 SEPARATION, RED OAK-CLAYTON CO. LINE	80860	08-10-78	(NONE)
		FAYETTEVILLE AIRPORT CONNECTER TO I-95	80879	08-14-78	(NONE)
		I-440, FROM I-40 TO I-24, NASHVILLE	80889	08-17-78	(NONE)
		RIVERDALE AVENUE ARTERIAL, YONKERS	80901	08-21-78	(NONE)
		CENTENNIAL PARKWAY PROJECT, CO-470	80912	08-23-78	(NONE)
		MI-31, MI-20 TO CTH "MM" SECTION	80943	08-29-78	(NONE)
		PORT EVERGLADES EXPRESSWAY, CONSTRUCTION	80954	08-30-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	WHITE RIVER BRIDGE AT ST. CHARLES	80832	08-02-78	(NONE)
		U.S. 26, GRANITE HILL-SWAN VALLEY	80840	08-03-78	(NONE)
		S.R. 18 IMPROVEMENT NEAR MARION	80848	08-07-78	(NONE)
		OHIO ROUTE 61, IMPROVEMENT	80864	08-10-78	(NONE)
		ROUTE 7, NORWALK TO DANBURY	80888	08-16-78	(NONE)
		U.S. 95, FERDINAND - CRAIGMONT	80909	08-23-78	(NONE)
		STATE ROUTE 1201 AT SALTER PATH	80917	08-24-78	(NONE)
		I-20, I-20 TO I-820, TARRANT & PARKER CO.	80926	08-25-78	(NONE)
		U.S. 98, FAP ROUTE 9, MISS. LINE TO WILMER	80833	08-02-78	(NONE)

FROM 8-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION					
	SUPPLEM	SR 5, SOUTH 272ND STREET	80876	08-14-78	(NONE)
		FL-971-95, FROM FL-74 TO CANAL C-23	80953	08-30-78	(NONE)
URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION					
	FINAL	RAIL RAPID TRANSIT EXT., CHICAGO O-HARE AIRPORT	80885	08-15-78	(NONE)
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	FINAL	GRAIN ELEVATOR INDUSTRY, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	80853	08-08-78	(NONE)
		1981 REVISED EVAPORATIVE EMISSION REGULATIONS	80856	08-09-78	(NONE)
EPA, REGION I					
	DRAFT	BOSTON AREA, WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN	80842	08-03-78	(NONE)
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION					
	DRAFT	KERCKHOFF PROJECT NO. 96	80923	08-29-78	(NONE)
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION					
	FINAL	CENTRAL LOS ANGELES PARKING FACILITY	80892	08-17-78	(NONE)
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
	SUPPLEM	ALLENS CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION UNIT NO. 1	80952	08-30-78	(NONE)
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY					
	DRAFT	CODEE NO. 2 HYDRO PLANT, REHABILITATION	80893	08-18-78	(NONE)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS					
	DRAFT	WESTHAVEN COVE, BASIN EXPANSION, GRAYS HARBOR	80839	08-03-78	(NONE)
		DEEP DRAFT INSHORE PORT, HARBOR ISLAND	80852	08-08-78	(NONE)
		TEXAS CITY & VICINITY HURRICANE FLOOD PROTECTION	80904	08-22-78	(NONE)
		LAKE WICHITA, HOLLIDAY CREEK FLOOD CONTROL	80906	08-22-78	(NONE)
		KAUNAKAKAI HARBOR, MOLOKAI, DEEP DRAFT HARBOR	80946	08-29-78	(NONE)
		ELCOTT SMALL BOAT HARBOR	80961	08-31-78	(NONE)
	FINAL	HAHN SHOPPING CENTER, CORTE MADERA	80822	08-23-78	(NONE)
		HARTWELL LAKE, SAVANNAH RIVER, O/M	80857	08-09-78	(NONE)
		SEPEKAINC RIVER O/M, CONFINED DISPOSAL	80859	08-09-78	(NONE)

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS					
	FINAL	RIO GRANDE RIVER, SANTA FE, ARROYO MASCARAS	E08081	08-15-78	(NONE)
		MERMENTAU RIVER-GULF OF MEXICO NAVIGATION CHANNEL	E0910	08-23-78	(NONE)
		BURNETT, CRYSTAL, AND SCOTT BAYS, AND VICINITY	E0911	08-23-78	(NONE)
		PERMIT, US 321 MIDDLE FORK CREEK	E0951	08-30-78	(NONE)
		CITY CORP. TERMINAL FACILITY, DELAWARE RIVER	E0956	08-30-78	(NONE)
	SUPPLEMENTAL	NAVIGATION HARBOR FOR LIGHT-DRAFT VESSELS	E0941	08-03-78	(NONE)
		CEDAR R., WATERLOO FLOOD PROTECTION (C-1)	E0905	08-22-78	(NONE)
		HAMMONDSPORT, SHELDRAKE, KEUKA RIVER BASINS	E0932	08-28-78	(NONE)
		ELLICOTT CREEK, FLOOD PROTECTION AND RECREATION	E0957	08-30-78	(NONE)
		SURFSIDE-SUNSET AND NEWPORT BEACH, NOURISHMENT	E0958	08-30-78	(NONE)
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION	FINAL	VA CEMETERY AT RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA	80597	08-23-78	(NONE)

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Animal Feed Subtherapeutic Antibacterial Agents	80836	08-02-78	HEW
		DRAFT	EPA's 1979 Wholesale Rate Increase	80913	08-23-78	ICC
		DRAFT	Storage of US Spent Power Reactor Fuel	80942	08-29-78	DOE
		FINAL	1981 Revised Evaporative Emission Regulations	80856	08-09-78	EPA
		FINAL	Northeast Corridor Improvement Project	80863	08-10-78	DOT
		SUPPLE	Northwest Atlantic Mackerel Fishery	80929	08-25-78	DOC
	Baltimore City	DRAFT	Lexington Market Station Joint Development Project	80896	08-18-78	DOT
		FINAL	GRAIN ELEVATOR INDUSTRY, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	80853	08-08-78	EPA
Arizona		DRAFT	Palo Verde-Devers 500kV Transmission Line	80891	08-18-78	DOI
	Maricopa	FINAL	Roosevelt Water Conserv. District Floodway	80899	08-21-78	USDA
	Pinal	FINAL	Roosevelt Water Conserv. District Floodway	80899	08-21-78	USDA
	Several	DRAFT	Navajo Land Selection	80884	08-15-78	DOI
Arkansas	Little River	DRAFT	Bois d'Arc Bayou Watershed Plan	80849	08-07-78	USDA
	Monroe	FINAL	White River Bridge at St. Charles	80832	08-02-78	DOT
California		DRAFT	PALO VERDE-DEVERS 500kV Transmission Line	80891	08-18-78	DOI
		FINAL	Rogue River N.F. Timber Plan	80843	08-03-78	USDA
	Solano	DRAFT	PARTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN DOVER VALLEY, FAIRFIELD	80837	08-03-78	HUD
	Fresno	DRAFT	Kerckhoff Project No. 96	80923	08-25-78	FERC
	Los Angeles	FINAL	Central Los Angeles Parking Facility	80892	08-17-78	GSA
	Madera	DRAFT	Kerckhoff Project No. 96	80923	08-25-78	FERC
	Marin	FINAL	Hahn Shopping Center, Corte Madera	80822	08-23-78	COE
	Orange	SUPPLE	Surfside-Sunset and Newport Beach, Nourishment	80958	08-30-78	COE
	San Bernardino	FINAL	Ontario International Airport	80834	08-02-78	DOT
	Santa Clara	DRAFT	Community Development, San Jose, 3 Year Program	80887	08-16-78	HUD
	Several	DRAFT	Oakland Air Service Case, Docket 30659	80947	08-29-78	CAB
	Humboldt	SUPPLE	Humboldt Bay Harbor Marina, Woodley Island	80925	08-25-78	DOC
	Riverside	FINAL	VA Cemetery at Riverside, California	80597	08-23-78	VA
Colorado	Alamosa	DRAFT	115kV Transmission Line, Lake City to Creede	80872	08-11-78	USDA
	Arapahoe	DRAFT	The Highlands Planned Unit Development	80926	08-25-78	HUD
	Charfee	DRAFT	115kV Transmission Line, Lake City to Creede	80872	08-11-78	USDA
	Hinsdale	DRAFT	115kV Transmission Line, Lake City to Creede	80872	08-11-78	USDA
	Jefferson	FINAL	Concept 80 West Development, Lakewood	80858	08-09-78	HUD
	Several	DRAFT	Centennial Parkway Project, CO-470	80912	08-23-78	DOT
	Denver	DRAFT	Lincoln Park Neighborhood Revitalization	80916	08-24-78	HUD
Connecticut		SUPPLE	Mamaroneck, Sheldrake, Byram River Basins	80932	08-25-78	COE
	Fairfield	FINAL	Route 7, Norwalk to Danbury	80888	08-16-78	DOT
Alabama	Mobile	FINAL	US 98, FAP Route 9, Miss. Line to Wilmer	80833	08-02-78	DOT

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Florida		FINAL	Gulf Island National Seashore, Mgmt/Concept Plan	80869	08-11-78	DOI
	Broward	DRAFT	Port Everglades Expressway, Construction	80954	08-30-78	DOT
	Martin	SUPPLE	FL-9/I-95, Brcs FL-74 to Canal C-23	80953	08-30-78	DOT
	Palm Beach	SUPPLE	FL-9/I-95, Brcs FL-74 to Canal C-23	80953	08-30-78	DOT
Georgia		FINAL	Hartwell Lake, Savannah River, O/M	80857	08-09-78	COE
	Fulton	DRAFT	I-85/I-285 Separation, Red Oak-Clayton Co. Line	80860	08-10-78	DOT
Hawaii	Hawaii	SUPPLE	Kawaihae Harbor for Light-Draft Vessels	80841	08-03-78	COE
	Honolulu	DRAFT	Makakilo Subdivision Development, Ewa, Oahu	80875	08-11-78	HUD
	Maui	DRAFT	Kaunakakai Harbor, Molokai, Deep Draft Harbor	80946	08-29-78	COE
	Several	FINAL	Coastal Zone Management Program, Hawaii	80883	08-15-78	DOC
Idaho	Ada	FINAL	Cherry Lane Village, Meridian	80945	08-29-78	HUD
	Bear Lake	FINAL	Diamond Creek Unit, Caribou N.F.	80907	08-22-78	USDA
	Bonneville	FINAL	U.S. 26, Granite Hill-Swan Valley	80840	08-03-78	DOT
	Caribou	FINAL	Diamond Creek Unit, Caribou N.F.	80907	08-22-78	USDA
	Custer	SUPPLE	Challis Planning Unit, Revised Management Program	80874	08-11-78	DOI
	Idaho	FINAL	U.S. 95, Ferdinand - Craigmont	80909	08-23-78	DOT
	Lewis	FINAL	U.S. 95, Ferdinand - Craigmont	80909	08-23-78	DOT
Illinois	Cook	FINAL	Rail Rapid Transit Ext., Chicago O-Hare Airport	80885	08-15-78	DOT
	Grant	FINAL	S.R. 18 Improvement near Marion	80848	08-07-78	DOT
Iowa	Black Hawk	SUPPLE	Cedar R., Waterloo Flood Protection (S-1)	80905	08-22-78	COE
	Linn	FINAL	Cedar Rapids Municipal Airport Runway Construction	80921	08-25-78	DOT
Louisiana		FINAL	Mermentau River-Gulf of Mexico Navigation Channel	80910	08-23-78	COE
	Assumption	FINAL	Caplire Group Salt Domes - SPR	80924	08-25-78	DOE
	Iberia	FINAL	Caplire Group Salt Domes - SPR	80924	08-25-78	DOE
	Iberville	FINAL	Caplire Group Salt Domes - SPR	80924	08-25-78	DOE
	Jefferson	FINAL	Greater New Orleans Miss. R. Bridge No. 2	80886	08-16-78	DOT
	Orleans	FINAL	Greater New Orleans Miss. R. Bridge No. 2	80886	08-16-78	DOT

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Maine		FINAL	Coastal Zone Management Program, Maine	80939	08-28-78	DOC
Maryland	Several	FINAL	Coastal Zone Management Program, Maryland	80959	08-30-78	DOC
Massachusetts		DRAFT	Boston Area, Waste Treatment Management Plan	80842	08-03-78	EPA
Michigan		FINAL	Sebewaing River O/M, Confined Disposal	80859	08-09-78	COE
	Ingham	FINAL	Capitol Commons Project, Redevelopment, Lansing	80838	08-03-78	HUD
	Oakland	FINAL	Oakland Park Towers Development, Troy	80938	08-28-78	HUD
Minnesota	Washington	DRAFT	Woodland Hills Development, Woodbury	80845	08-04-78	HUD
Mississippi		FINAL	Gulf Island National Seashore, Mgmt/Concept Plan	80869	08-11-78	DOI
Montana	Big Horn	DRAFT	Spring Creek Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan	80871	08-11-78	DOI
New Hampshire	Carroll	FINAL	Waterville Unit Plan, White Mtn. N.F.	80915	08-24-78	USDA
	Grafton	FINAL	Waterville Unit Plan, White Mtn. N.F.	80915	08-24-78	USDA
New Jersey		FINAL	New Jersey Coastal Management Program	80960	08-30-78	DOC
	Gloucester	FINAL	GATX Corp. Terminal Facility, Delaware River	80956	08-30-78	COE
New Mexico		FINAL	Rio Grande River, Santa Fe, Arroyo Mascaras	80881	08-15-78	COE
New York		SUPPLE	Mamaroneck, Sheldrake, Byram River Basins	80932	08-25-78	COE
	Erie	SUPPLE	Ellicott Creek, Flood Protection and Recreation	80957	08-30-78	COE
	Monroe	FINAL	Rochester Circulation, Central Business District	80927	08-25-78	HUD
	Niagara	DRAFT	Olcott Small Boat Harbor	80961	08-31-78	COE
	Essex	SUPPLE	1980 Olympic Winter Games	80895	08-18-78	DOC

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
New York	Washington	FINAL	Wind Turbine Generator Syster	80922	08-25-78	DOE
	Westchester	DRAFT	Riverdale Avenue Arterial, Yonkers	80901	08-21-78	DOT
North Carolina		FINAL	North Carolina Coastal Management Program	80835	08-02-78	DOC
	Carteret	FINAL	State Route 1201 at Salter Path	80917	08-24-78	DOT
	Cumberland	DRAFT	Fayetteville Airport Connector to I-95	80879	08-14-78	DOT
	Watauga	FINAL	Permit, US 321 Middle Fork Creek	80951	08-30-78	COE
Ohio	Crawford	FINAL	Ohio Route 61, Improvement	80864	08-10-78	DOT
	Hamilton	DRAFT	Disposition of Fay Apartments, Cincinnati	80898	08-21-78	HUD
Oregon		FINAL	Rogue River N.F. Timber Plan	80843	08-03-78	USDA
		SUPPLEMENTAL	1979 Facility Location	80931	08-25-78	DOE
	Douglas	DRAFT	Upper Rogue Planning Unit, Rogue River NF	80919	08-24-78	USDA
		FINAL	Umpqua N.F., Timber Plan	80900	08-21-78	USDA
	Jackson	DRAFT	Upper Rogue Planning Unit, Rogue River NF	80919	08-24-78	USDA
		FINAL	Umpqua N.F., Timber Plan	80900	08-21-78	USDA
	Klamath	DRAFT	Upper Rogue Planning Unit, Rogue River NF	80919	08-24-78	USDA
	Lane	FINAL	Umpqua N.F., Timber Plan	80900	08-21-78	USDA
	Several	DRAFT	Vegetation Management with Herbicides	80846	08-04-78	DOI
Pennsylvania	Lycoming	FINAL	Pine Creek State and National Scenic River	80868	08-11-78	DOI
	Philadelphia	FINAL	Eastern North Philadelphia Plan	80865	08-10-78	HUD
	Tioga	FINAL	Pine Creek State and National Scenic River	80868	08-11-78	DOI
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	Plaza Renacimiento, Stage 3, San Juan	80914	08-21-78	HUD
		FINAL	Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program	80866	08-10-78	DOC
		FINAL	Venus Gardens Development	80880	08-14-78	HUD
South Carolina		DRAFT	Savannah River Plant, Mgmt. of Radioactive Waste	80830	08-01-78	DOE
		FINAL	Hartwell Lake, Savannah River, O/M	80857	08-09-78	COE

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Tennessee	Davidson	DRAFT	I-440, From I-40 to I-24, Nashville	80889	08-17-78	DOT
	Knox	FINAL	Farmington Subdivision, Knoxville	80935	08-28-78	HUD
	Polk	DRAFT	Ocoee No. 2 Hydro Plant, Rehabilitation	80893	08-18-78	TVA
Texas	Archer	DRAFT	Lake Wichita, Holliday Creek Flood Control	80906	08-22-78	COE
	Austin	SUPPLE	Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station Unit No.	80952	08-30-78	NRC
	Callahan	FINAL	Sabanna River Watershed	80854	08-08-78	USDA
	Comal	FINAL	Watershed Plan, Upper San Marcos River	80867	08-10-78	USDA
	Comanche	FINAL	Sabanna River Watershed	80854	08-08-78	USDA
	Eastland	FINAL	Sabanna River Watershed	80854	08-08-78	USDA
	Fort Bend	DRAFT	Pheasant Creek Subdivision	80855	08-08-78	HUD
	Galveston	DRAFT	Texas City & Vicinity Hurricane Flood Protection	80904	08-22-78	COE
	Harris	DRAFT	Westglen Subdivision	80850	08-07-78	HUD
		DRAFT	Keegans Glen Development	80902	08-22-78	HUD
		DRAFT	Springfield Subdivision	80936	08-28-78	HUD
		DRAFT	Westbourne Subdivision	80941	08-29-78	HUD
		DRAFT	Paddock Subdivision	80944	08-29-78	HUD
		DRAFT	Stone Creek Subdivision	80950	08-29-78	HUD
		FINAL	Tallow Wood Subdivision	80897	08-21-78	HUD
		FINAL	Chimney Hill Subdivision	80903	08-22-78	HUD
		FINAL	Burnett, Crystal, and Scott Bays, and Vicinity	80911	08-23-78	COE
		FINAL	Bear Creek Village Subdivision	80933	08-28-78	HUD
		FINAL	Park Meadows Subdivision, Deer Park	80934	08-28-78	HUD
		FINAL	Piper's Meadow Subdivision	80948	08-29-78	HUD
	Hays	FINAL	Watershed Plan, Upper San Marcos River	80867	08-10-78	USDA
	Nueces	DRAFT	Deep Draft Inshore Port, Harbor Island	80852	08-08-78	COE
	Parker	FINAL	I-20, I-30 to I-820, Tarrant & Parker Co.	80928	08-25-78	DOT
	Tarrant	DRAFT	Southrock Addition Subdivision, Fort Worth	80877	08-14-78	HUD
		FINAL	I-20, I-30 to I-820, Tarrant & Parker Co.	80928	08-25-78	DOT
	Several	FINAL	Sabine Unit Plan, Sabine N.F.	80930	08-25-78	USDA
Utah	Several	DRAFT	Coal Resource Development	80870	08-11-78	DOI
		DRAFT	Ferror-Price Planning Unit, Manti-LaSal NF	80882	08-15-78	USDA
	Tooele	FINAL	Disposal of Hydrogen Cyanide, Tooele Army Depot	80894	08-18-78	DOD
	Wasatch	SUPPLE	Strawberry Reservoir Enlargement, Recreation Plan	80829	08-01-78	DOI
Washington		DRAFT	N.E. 12th Street, City of Bellevue	80851	08-07-78	DOT
		SUPPLE	BPA 1979 Facility Location	80931	08-25-78	DOE
	Chelan	DRAFT	Kittitas Land Management Plan, Wenatchee NF	80831	08-02-78	USDA
	Grays Harbor	DRAFT	Westhaven Cove, Basin Expansion, Grays Harbor	80839	08-03-78	COE
	King	FINAL	Regency Woods, Proposed Master Plan	80873	08-11-78	HUD
		SUPPLE	SR 5, South 272nd Street	80876	08-14-78	DOT
	Kittitas	DRAFT	Kittitas Land Management Plan, Wenatchee NF	80831	08-02-78	USDA
	Spokane	DRAFT	Homestead Planned Community	80955	08-30-78	HUD

FROM 08-01-78
TO 08-31-78

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	OBIG AGENCY NUMBER
Wisconsin	Racine	DRAFT	WI-31, WI-20 tc CTH "MM" Section	80943	08-29-78	DOT
Wyoming	Campbell	DRAFT	Coal Creek Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan	80918	08-24-78	DOI
Nevada	Clark	SUPPLE	Fort Mohave Land Sale (S-2)	80878	08-15-78	DOI
Virginia	Chesapeake	FINAL	Church Street and Huntersville II Redevelopment	80908	08-23-78	HUD

AUGUST 1978

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

102 STATEMENT SUMMARY

AGENCY	DRAFT 102's (NO FINAL)	FINAL 102's	SUPPLEMENTS	TOTAL
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD	1	0	0	1
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	5	8	0	13
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	0	6	3	9
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army	0	1	0	1
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	3	2	1	6
DEPARTMENT OF HEW	1	0	0	1
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	17	15	0	32
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR	6	2	3	11
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	9	14	2	25
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	1	2	0	3
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	1	0	0	1
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	0	1	0	1
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	0	0	1	1
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	1	0	0	1
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	6	8	5	19
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION	0	1	0	1
TOTALS	51	60	15	126

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Contact: Mr. Ivars V. Mellups
Office of the General Counsel
Civil Aeronautics Board
1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20428
(202) 673-5205

Draft | Date

Oakland Air Service Case, Docket 30699 | 08/29

California
County: Several
Proposed by the CAB is new and improved authority in following Oakland markets: Albuquerque, Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Dallas/Ft. Worth, Denver, Detroit, Houston, Kansas City, Minneapolis/St. Paul, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Portland, Salt Lake City, and Seattle. This will be considered through the Oakland Service Case. The Board has tentatively decided to adopt a policy of awarding permissive, subsidy-ineligible authority in each market where a need for new authority is shown to every fit, willing and able applicant whose illustrative service proposal indicates that it is prepared to satisfy any part of that need.
(EPA ORDER # 80947)

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Department
Attn: DAEN-CWR-E
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft	Date
Kaunakakai Harbor, Molokai, Deep Draft Harbor	08/29

Hawaii
County: Maui
The proposed project plan consists of a deep draft harbor at Kaunakakai Harbor, Maui County, Island of Molokai, Hawaii, capable of serving future waterborne commerce needs of Molokai. Harbor improvements would include general navigational features comprising an entrance channel, harbor basin, protective structures, necessary aids to navigation, and landscaping measures. At present, two harbor concepts are being considered.
(Honolulu District)
(EPA ORDER # 80946)

Olcott Small Boat Harbor	08/31

New York
County: Niagara
Proposed is a Small Boat Harbor plan for the Olcott Harbor located in Niagara County, New York. The plan would provide two breakwaters, one to the west which would protect the existing channel to Eighteenmile Creek and the other on the east which would form a large mooring basin and provide sportfishing. Additional mooring spaces would be supplied by the west breakwater. Several new channels will be incorporated with limited dredging which would be disposed of at an upland site. Recreational facilities will be included.
(Buffalo District)
(EPA ORDER # 80961)

Deep Draft Inshore Port, Harbor Island	08/08

Texas
County: Nueces
Proposed is the development of a multi-purpose, deep-draft inshore port at Harbor Island, Nueces County, Texas. The application concerns Phase I of a three phase development plan. The actions involved would include enlarging and deepening the inner basin to form a turning basin, redredging, deepening, and enlarging an existing slip and a docking basin, relocating the tributary channel to Aransas Pass, constructing three oil terminal docks, and filling both

emergent and submergent areas to permit the construction of ancillary onshore facilities necessary to transhipp crude oil. (EPA ORDER # 80852)

Draft

Texas City & Vicinity Hurricane Flood Protection 08/22

Texas
County: Galveston
The proposed action is the completion of the authorized Texas City and Vicinity Hurricane-Flood Protection Project in Galveston County, Texas. Completion of the system involves incorporating 4.3 miles of reservoir and canal containment levees into the project at the northwest terminus and incorporating, by enlargement and extension, a locally constructed levee at the southwest terminus of the project.
(Galveston District)
(EPA ORDER # 80904)

Lake Wichita, Holliday Creek Flood Control 08/22

Texas
County: Archer
This proposal concerns Lake Wichita Dam located in Archer County, Texas which will be raised and strengthened with an earthen dam approximately 16,000 feet long. The project will consist of a 50-foot-wide grass-lined channel that will extend from the spillway to the mouth of Holliday Creek, a distance of 9.4 miles, a 10 by 2 foot low flow channel, and the lowering of the elevation of the existing conservation pool.
(Tulsa District)
(EPA ORDER # 80906)

Westhaven Cove, Basin Expansion, Grays Harbor 08/03

Washington
County: Grays Harbor
The proposed action of this statement concerns the expansion of the small boat basin in Westhaven Cove, Grays Harbor, Grays Harbor County, Washington. The actions involved include: 1) removal of a 350 foot extension of breakwater, 2) construction of a 950 foot extension to breakwater, and a 300 foot spur breakwater, 3) dredging a new entrance channel, access channel, and turning basin, 4) use of 5.5 acres of intertidal wetlands and two confined upland sites to dispose and stockpile dredging material, and 5) slope protection.
(Seattle District)
(EPA ORDER # 80839)

Final

Hartwell Lake, GEO/SC, O & M 08/09

South Carolina Georgia
Proposed is the continuance of the operation and maintenance program on Hartwell Lake, Savannah River. The operation and maintenance activities consist of reservoir regulation, flood control, hydroelectric power generation management of land resources and facilities, management of leases, easements and other outgrants, and project management and maintenance activities. Adverse effects associated with the operation of Hartwell Lake are: soil erosion and/or compaction, damage to or loss of vegetation, and alterations of the natural environment through recreational development. (Savannah District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA HUD HEW ICI
State Agencies
Groups
(EPA ORDER # 80857)

Burnett, Crystal, and Scott Bays, and Vicinity 08/25

Texas
County: Harris
The statement concerns legislative action to permanently evacuate the Burnett, Crystal, and Scott Bays and vicinity in Baytown, Texas. The project also calls for the removal of the evacuated dwellings on the 50-year frequency flood plain and conversion of the land to a nature area. Adverse effects of the project include emotional and social strains on the 1,550 persons to be evacuated and a small effect on business located near the area.
(Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW HUD DOC ICI DOT EPA AHP
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80911)

California
County: Marin
The project involves the filling of 45 acres of grasslands and marsh with 500,000 cubic yards of dry fill, including both fill and surcharge. This acreage in addition to 17 acres of previously filled land is to be used as a site for the Hahn Shopping Center, a regional shopping center located in the Corte Madera, Marin County. Adverse impacts include a decrease in water quality from project runoff, increases in air and noise pollution, the loss of 45 acres of wildlife habitat, and an increase in local traffic.
(San Francisco District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80822)

Mermentau River-Gulf of Mexico Navigation Channel 08/23

Louisiana
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the Mermentau River-Gulf of Mexico Navigation Channel to its design cross section, 15 by 100 feet. Also included in the proposal are the maintenance of jetties at the entrance of the channel in the Gulf and the disposal of dredged materials in a manner that would least alter the existing ecological conditions and would create new tidal marsh. Annual dredging would result in destruction of benthic habitat and dredged material dumped on existing disposal banks would destroy vegetation and existing wildlife habitat.
(New Orleans District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA DOC USDA DOT HEW AHP
State Agencies
Groups
(EPA ORDER # 80910)

Sebewaing River O/S, Confined Disposal 08/09

Michigan
Proposed are operation and maintenance measures to be implemented on the Sebewaing River, Michigan. The proposed plan calls for maintenance dredging of the Sebewaing River navigation and flood control channel, construction of a confined disposal facility for contaminated dredge material, repair of flood protection structures, and, as necessary, dynamiting the ice jams to prevent flooding of the village of Sebewaing. Adverse impacts include the destruction of approximately 2 3 acres of moderately productive shoreline wetland; increased water turbidity; and possible other water impacts. (Detroit District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DOT DOI USDA EPA
State Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80859)

Final

GATX Corp. Terminal Facility, Delaware River 08/30

New Jersey
County: Gloucester
The General American Transportation Corporation (GTAX) has proposed to construct a terminal for the storage and transfer of bulk petroleum products on a 400+ acre tract in West Deptford, New Jersey. Plans include construction of a tanker and barge docking facility, a tank farm site, a maintenance building, and an office. The initial utilization of tank storage will be for #2 fuel oil, naphtha, and methanol. Dredging will result in a temporary increase in turbidity, evaporation losses of product will add to air pollution, and there will be some increase in the chance of a chemical or oil spill into the Delaware. (Philadelphia District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD USDA DOI DOC EPA
State and Local Agencies
Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80956)

Rio Grande River, Santa Fe, Arroyo Mascaras 08/15

New Mexico
The project involves the construction of a dry flood control reservoir on the Santa Fe River; channelization of approximately 4,000 feet of Arroyo Mascaras, raise Apartment Drive bridge; and provide a new bridge at College St. in Santa Fe. Adverse impacts are:the alteration of 22 acres turbidity in the river and two reservoirs would be evident until revegetation, and short-term air and noise pollution due to construction.(Albuquerque District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOT USDA HEW EPC EPA DOC
State Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80881)

Permit, US 321, Middle Fork Creek 08/30

North Carolina
County: Watauga
Proposed is the improvement of the existing two lane US 321 highway by widening to a basic four lane highway. The improvement is 5.5 miles in length between Boone and Blowing Rock in Watauga County, North Carolina. Widening of the highway will require channel changes of Middle Fork Creek, a tributary of the South Fork of the New River. The channel involves 3,550 feet of the stream. Widening of US 321 will require reconstruction of 500 feet of the Blue Ridge Parkway and a new bridge 200 feet in length which carries Parkway traffic over US 321.
(Huntington District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI AHP HEW
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80951)

Draft Supplement

Mamaroneck, Sheldrake, Byram River Basins 08/25

Connecticut New York
County: Fairfield Westchester
The purpose of this statement is to assess the impacts of the proposed flood control plans for the 1) Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers at the Village and Town of Mamaroneck, Westchester County, New York and for the 2) Byram River at the Village of Port Chester, Westchester County, New York and the Town of Greenwich, Fairfield County, Connecticut. The overall flood control plan will include channel modification, retaining walls, bridge replacement, river diversion, channel excavation, floodwalls, and levees.
(Chief of Engineers)
(EPA ORDER # 80932)

Kawaihae Harbor for Light-Draft Vessels 08/03

Hawaii
County: Hawaii
This proposal supplements a final EIS filed in August 1971 concerning the Kawaihae Small Boat Harbor, Hawaii County, Hawaii. The State of Hawaii has requested that the authorized site for the light-draft harbor be moved outside the limits of the existing deep-draft harbor. The actions of this proposal are construction of the harbor, breakwater, revetted mole, offshore island, wave absorber, and navigation channels. Alternatives to the proposed project would be to forego construction and utilize the existing facilities or to site the harbor at another location.
(Honolulu District)
(EPA ORDER # 80841)

Ellicott Creek, Flood Protection and Recreation 08/30

New York
County: Erie
Proposed is a Flood Management Plan for the lower Ellicott Creek basin which will consist of a combination of a major channelization-diversion channel for the towns of Amherst and Tonawanda, Erie County, New York. The project will feature: 1) approximately 1.7 miles of major channelization, 2) construction of a channel in addition to two diversion channels totalling 1.6 miles, 3) bridge modification and construction, 4) placement of riprap, and 5) recreational parks and trails. In addition, the existing 0.4 mile diversion channel in Ellicott Creek Park connecting Ellicott and Tonawanda Creeks will be widened.
(Buffalo District)
(EPA ORDER # 80957)

Final Supplement — Date

Surfside-Sunset and Newport Beach, Nourishment — 08/30

California
County: Orange
Proposed is a beach nourishment project to restore the eroding Surfside-Sunset beach shoreline and help maintain the integrity of the sandy beach shoreline down cast from Surfside-Sunset beach. The nourishment beach extends along approximately 6,000 feet of the Surfside-Sunset sandy beach shoreline. The nourishment material will be obtained from within a 190-acre subtidal borrow site located about 6,500 feet offshore from the feeder beach.
(Los Angeles District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOC DOI EPA DOT HUD USN
State and Local Agencies
Groups
(EPA ORDER # 80958)

Cedar R., Waterloo Local Protection Project (S-2) — 08/22

Iowa
County: Black Hawk
Proposed is the remaining construction of approximately 5 miles of levee averaging 15 feet high, 1.8 miles of concrete floodwall, and a 375-acre storm water detention basin on Virden Creek. The completion of this system will provide 100-year flood protection to 4,200 acres of residential, commercial, and industrial property within waterloo, Iowa. Borrow of approximately 1,500,000 cubic yards of material for levee and dam construction and the commitment of approximately 130 acres for construction and right-of-way purposes will result.
(Rock Island District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOT DOI HEW USCG
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80905)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Affairs
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 377-4335

Economic Development Administration

Draft Supplement Date

Humboldt Bay Harbor Marina, Woodley Island 08/25

California
County: Humboldt
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in August 1976 concerning the proposed release of financial assistance to the Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District for the construction of a 237-berth marina on Woodley Island, Eureka, Humboldt County, California. The proposed marina would provide berthing space for commercial fishing vessels currently based in Humboldt Bay and some recreational vessels. Five alternate sites are considered. A phased, multi-site marina development program incorporating reduced sizes at some alternate sites is also considered, in addition with no action.
(EPA ORDER # 80925)

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Final Date

Coastal Zone Management Program, Hawaii 08/15

Hawaii
County: Several
Proposed is the approval of a Coastal Zone Management Program for the State of Hawaii. Approval of the program and implementation would allow program administration grants to be awarded to the State and require that federal actions be consistent with the program. Through this program the State of Hawaii would establish policies and standards to condition, restrict or prohibit some uses in parts of the coastal zone and encourage development in others through coordinated actions of State and Local authorities.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD USA CCR HUD USAF AEC DOT DOC GSA NRC DOE State and local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80883)

Maine
The proposed action is the approval of a coastal program application by the State of Maine. The State of Maine is requesting administrative grants to improve environmental conditions, land use planning and management and to carry-out research that will result in recommendations to the Legislature for improvement of policies concerning tourism, fisheries, heavy industry, etc.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC HUD DOT DOI CEQ USDA DOE FERC DOD State and Local Agencies
Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80939)

Coastal Zone Management Program, Maryland 08/30

Maryland
County: Several
It is proposed that the Assistant Administrator approve the Coastal Zone Management Program of the State of Maryland. Approval would permit implementation grants to be awarded to the State, and require that Federal actions be consistent with the program. The State will condition, restrict, or prohibit land and water uses in some parts of Maryland's coast while encouraging development in other parts. Maryland coastal area can be divided into two distinct regions; the Atlantic Coast Area which has a shoreline of 31 miles and the Chesapeake Bay area which is characterized by over 4,000 miles of greatly indented shoreline.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOE HUD DOT DOD DOC USDA DOI EPA USA FERC NRC State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80959)

New Jersey Coastal Management Program 08/30

New Jersey
The action proposed is the approval by DOC of the State of New Jersey's Coastal Zone Management Program, Bay and Ocean Shore Segment. The funding will be used for various actions which include improvement or development of: 1) mapping programs, 2) preparation of a Coastal Handbook, 3) educational and information programs, 4) energy facility siting criteria and policies, 5) beach and waterfront area access, and 6) coastal management enforcement and monitoring programs.
COMMENTS MADE BY: NRC USA DOI DOT DOD DOC HUD State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80960)

North Carolina Coastal Management Program 08/02

North Carolina
It is proposed that the Assistant Administrator approve the Coastal Plan of the State of North Carolina pursuant to P.L. 92-583. Approval would permit implementation grants

to be awarded to the State, and require that Federal actions be consistent with the program. Approval and implementation of the program will allow the State to better coordinate and more effectively implement existing State authorities for management of its coastal zone. The state will condition, restrict, or prohibit land and water uses in some parts of the North Carolina coast, while encouraging development in other parts.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD EPA CEQ NRC DOI USDA DOE GSA HUD USCG DOC
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80835)

Final

Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program 08/10

Puerto Rico
The purpose of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (the CZMA) is to establish a National policy and develop a National program for the management, beneficial use, protection, and development of the land and water resources of the Nation's coastal zones. The Federal Act provides three principal kinds of benefits to Puerto Rico (1) it provides planning funds for use by the Commonwealth in preparing a management program for its coast (2) will provide management funds for use by Commonwealth in implementing its coastal management program and (3) contains Federal consistency provisions.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HUD DOI NRC FERC DOI EPA DOD
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80866)

Draft Supplement Date

Northwest Atlantic Mackerel Fishery 08/25

This statement supplements a final EIS filed in May 1978 and concerns the management unit for the plan, which is defined as all Atlantic mackerel under US jurisdiction. The objectives of the plan are to: 1) increase domestic recreational and commercial catch; 2) maximize economy contribution; 3) maintain spawning stock size at or above 1978 size; 4) provide efficient allocation of capital and labor; and minimize cost of development, research, management, and enforcement.
(DOE-EIS-0005-DS-1)
(EPA ORDER # 80929)

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Final Supplement — Date

1980 Olympic Winter Games — 08/18

New York
County: Essex
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in January 1977 concerning the 1980 Winter Olympic Games to be held in the village of Lake Placid and the Town of North Elba and Wilmington, and Vicinity, Essex County, New York. There are no new olympic projects proposed for implementation that would have substantial impact which were not addressed in the final and draft supplement. Five alternatives are considered for the disposal of waste-water.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI STAT EPA USDA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80895)

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Contact: Mr. Robert Stern
Office of NEPA Affairs
Department of Energy
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue
Room 7119
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 566-9760

Draft — Date

Storage of US Spent Power Reactor Fuel — 03/29

This generic statement concerns the impacts of implementing the proposed policy for the interim storage of US spent fuel. The statement considers various options for governmental involvement in the interim management of domestic spent fuel including the alternative of no Federal role outside the regulatory sphere. Also analyzed are the issues of centralized versus decentralized storage of spent fuel and degree of Federal management control.
(DOE/EIS-0015-D)
(EPA CEDEB # 80942)

Savannah River Plant, Mgmt. of Radioactive Waste — 08/01

South Carolina
This programmatic environmental impact statement is issued to provide environmental guidance for the research and development program, demonstration activities, and engineering design studies that will be carried out at the Savannah River Plant (SRP) related to long-term management of high-level radioactive waste generated at SRP as part of the Nation's nuclear defense program.
(DOE/EIS-0023-D)
(EPA CEDEB # 80830)

Capline Group Salt Domes - SPR 08/25

Louisiana
County: Assumption Iberville Iberia
Proposed are five candidate sites for Early Storage Reserve (ESR) facilities for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) program. The sites comprise the Capline Group of salt domes located in the Gulf Coast region of south central Louisiana. Adverse effects include short-term, construction related pollution; hydrocarbon emissions associated with tanker loading and offloading; impact on water quality from possible oil and brine spills; impact of brine disposal to the Gulf of Mexico; and resultant impact to the flora and fauna which would be affected by such oil or brine spills.
(DOE-EIS-0024)
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE USDA DOC EPA NRC AEP
State Agencies
Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80924)

Wind Turbine Generator System 08/25

New York
County: Washington
The proposed action is an experimental wind turbine generator (WTG), designated MOD-OA, will be installed on a knoll in New Meadow Hill Swamp, electrically integrated with the adjacent Block Island Power Company (BIPC) power plant and operated to supply up to 200 kilowatts of electricity to the existing utility network for a field test period of two to four years. The test program will help determine performance characteristics, operating and maintenance needs, and economics of a wind energy system interconnected with a conventional power plant and used to supply power through existing utility lines.
(DOE-EIS-0006)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD EPA
State Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80922)

Bonneville Power Administration

Draft Date

BPA's 1979 Wholesale Rate Increase 08/23

Proposed is the increase of approximately 90 percent in BPA's wholesale power rates in the states of California, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington & Wyoming. This action will involve a restructuring of existing rate schedules. Alternatives considered rates such as: 1) average cost, 2) longrun incremental cost, 3) share-the-savings rates of energy sales to California, 4) time-differentiated average cost, 5) conservation, 6) industrial rates with availability credits and inclusion of a variable charge in capacity rates.
(EPA ORDER # 80913)

Draft Supplement Date

BPA 1979 Facility Location 08/25

Washington Oregon
County: Walla Walla Umatilla
This is a site specific statement under the proposed BPA 1979 Program. Proposed is a 6-mile 500kV tap line with a 6-acre expansion of the Sacajawea Substation located in Walla Walla County, Washington and Umatilla County, Oregon. This line would connect the BPA Lower Monumental-John Day 500kV line with the Sacajawea Substation near Ice Harbor Dam. The tap point would require 3 acres of land. Expansion of the Substation would involve a 500/115kV transformer and terminal facility.
(EPA ORDER # 80931)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 4256 Interior Bldg.
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Draft	Date
Navajo Land Selection	08/15

Arizona
County: Several
Proposed is the sale of 250,000 acres of public domain to the Navajo Tribe as directed by Public Law 93-531. The Tribe has applied for grazing land in House Rock Valley and the Paria Plateau, northern Arizona. This statement examines community establishment, tending of livestock, and adherence to deferred- and rest-rotation grazing and other management practices that, over time, should improve the vegetation. The project does not concern the relocation of Indians, only the land transfer consequences.
(INT-DES-78-53)
(EPA ORDER # 80884)

Bureau of Land Management

Draft	Date
Palo Verde-Devers 500kV Transmission Line	08/18

Arizona California
County: Several
The proposed action is the construction of a single-circuit 500 kV transmission line from the Palo Verde Nuclear generating station near Buckeye, Arizona to Southern California. The transmission line will vary between 235 and 265 miles long depending on the route selected. The project includes upgrading one substation, adding two telecommunication sites and upgrading several others and construction of new access roads as needed.
(DES-78-34)
(EPA ORDER # 80891)

Draft

Vegetation Management with Herbicides 08/04

Oregon
County: Several
The proposed action is the use of herbicides registered with EPA to manage vegetation on approximately 74,500 acres annually in Coos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson, Josephine, Lane and Klamath Counties, Oregon. Primary uses include: 1) the preparation of forest lands for tree planting, 2) release of planted trees from competing vegetation, 3) maintenance of roads, utility rights-of-way and other improvements and control of poisonous and noxious weeds. Methods of application include aerial, truck mounted equipment, hand held devices and direct hand application.
(DES-78-29)
(EPA ORDER # 80846)

Draft Supplement Date

Challis Planning Unit, Revised Management Program 08/11

Idaho
County: Custer
Proposed is the implementation of an improved range management program on public lands of the Challis Planning Unit located in Custer County, Idaho. Components of the proposed action are: 1) allocation of forage for the grazing livestock, horses and wildlife, and 2) implementing grazing treatment on public lands which will consist of rest-rotation grazing on 227,839 acres, deferred-rotation grazing on 21,495 acres, and seasonal-continuous grazing on 80,788 acres.
(EPA ORDER # 80874)

Final Supplement — Date

Fort Mohave Land Sale (S-2) — 08/15

Nevada
County: Clark
This supplement was prepared in response to the National Resource Defense Council, Inc. and Environmental Defense Fund comments on the final EIS (filed in January, 1975). Proposed is the transfer of the remaining 9,000 acres of National Resource Lands in Clark County, Nevada, to the State of Nevada under the Fort Mohave Act of April 22, 1960, P. L. 86-443. Transfer to the Nevada Division of Colorado River Resources would take place over a period of six years after approval by the Secretary of Interior.
(INT-FES-75-10)
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI AHP
(EPA ORDER # 80878)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Final — Date

Pine Creek State and National Scenic River — 08/11

Pennsylvania
County: Lycoming Tioga
Proposed is a plan to include the 51.7 miles of Pine Creek between Ansonia and Corbett, Pennsylvania, in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, and be administered by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The protection boundary would encompass the visual corridor and eight low-density recreation sites. Few adverse effects are anticipated, though some environmental damage to the terrain and vegetation may be expected as a result of visitor use.
(FES-76-16)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOD COE HUD DOI DOT EPA FERC
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Individuals
(EPA ORDER # 80868)

Draft Supplement — Date

Strawberry Reservoir Enlargement, Recreation Plan — 08/01

Utah
County: Wasatch
The proposed action would establish a management plan for creation of a recreation management boundary line, construction of recreation facilities and adoption of administrative and management procedures at the enlarged Strawberry Reservoir, Wasatch County, Utah. The reservoir enlargement will be created by water impounded behind existing Soldier Creek Dam and delivered through the Strawberry Aqueduct and Collection System.
(INT-FES-78-17)
(EPA ORDER # 80829)

Geological Survey

Draft — Date

Spring Creek Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan — 08/11

Montana
County: Big Horn
Proposed is a surface mining and reclamation plan for the Spring Creek Mine, Big Horn County, Montana, submitted by the Spring Creek Coal Company. The company proposes to open a new mine, complete with plant, loading facilities, haul and access roads, and railroad spur, extending northwest from the Decker mine. An estimated 243 million tons of low-sulfur coal would be removed from an area of about 1,850 acres within the 4,420-acre permit area.
(DES-78-30)
(EPA ORDER # 80871)

Coal Resource Development — 08/11

Utah
County: Several
The proposed action is based on three formal proposals for mining coal in Washington, Iron, Kane and Garfield Counties in Utah. This statement considers the cumulative impacts of potential coal developments in the region, and specific mining and reclamation plan analyses. Involved as part of these projects are: 1) approval of three mining and reclamation plans on existing leases, and 2) a projected level of development that includes the Allen-Warner Valley energy system, a major electric power generation proposal.
(DES-78-31)
(EPA ORDER # 80870)

Wyoming
County: Campbell
Proposed is the approval of the surface mining and reclamation plan for the Coal Creek Mine, Campbell County, Wyoming. The plan proposes mining about 330 million tons of coal at a production rate of 4.5 million tons during the first two years and 10 million tons during the following thirty-two years. Mining operations will occur on state, private, and 5,800 acres of federally owned land. The coal will be used for electric power generation in the midwest and in the south.
(DES 78-35)
(EPA ORDER # 80918)

National Park Service

Final	Date
Gulf Island National Seashore, Mgmt/Concept Plan	08/11

Mississippi Florida
Proposed is a general management plan to guide the future management and development of Gulf Island National Seashore, which proposes (1) establishment of visitor center/administrative offices at Davis Bayou and Naval Live Oaks Reservation; (2) major day-use beach recreation facilities at Santa Rosa Island, Fort Pickens, Perdido Key, and West Ship Island; (3) increased emphasis on boating access in the Florida and Mississippi District; (4) increased diversity of recreational and interpretive opportunities at most seashore units; and (5) enhanced protection of natural and cultural resources.
(DES-78-14)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA COE
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80869)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Draft — Date

Lexington Market Station Joint Development Project — 08/18

County: Baltimore City
Proposed is a joint development project at the downtown retail district, Baltimore City, Maryland. Public sector funds would be used for real property acquisition; business relocation; demolition; site preparation; public improvements; the disposition of development rights to designated portions of the proposed project site area, by sale or lease, to private development entities at the highest obtainable price as established by professional re-use appraisals; historic preservation costs; and a two-block extension of the City's Lexington Street Mall.
(EPA ORDER # 80896)

Final — Date

Northeast Corridor Improvement Project — 08/10

Proposed is the implementation of the Northeast Corridor Improvement Act (NECIP), mandated as a program to upgrade railroad intercity passenger service between Boston, Massachusetts and Washington, D.C. Specific goals of the program are to provide, by 1981, railroad facilities which can accomodate regularly scheduled dependable service on a three hour, 40 minute schedule between Boston and New York and a two hour, 40 minute schedule between New York and Washington. The NECIP is comprised of 11 interrelated improvements necessary to facilitate high speed operation and assure safe, comfortable service.
(FRA-NEC-EIS-77-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USCG HUD DOI DOE EPA HEW DOC COE FCC FPRC
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80863)

Greater New Orleans Miss. R. Bridge No. 2 — 08/16

Louisiana
County: Orleans Jefferson
The proposed action is to construct a new bridge and approaches across the Mississippi River in New Orleans. The new bridge will be located parallel to and 400 feet downstream of the existing Greater New Orleans Bridge. The

new structure will be a three-span, cantilevered through truss bridge very similar in appearance and construction to the existing Great New Orleans Bridge. Spans of approximately 853 feet, 1,575 feet, and 591 feet are proposed for the main structure. Two properties on the National Register of Historic Places will be adversely affected. (700 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: ARP ICC CCE USDA HUD DOI DOT EPA
State and local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80886)

Federal Aviation Administration

Final	Date
Ontario International Airport	08/02

California
County: San Bernardino
Proposed is the development and expansion of Ontario International Airport, Ontario, California. The project calls for construction of a new 10200' X 150' parallel runway, extension of the existing runway 2,200 feet, construction of new taxiways, installation of landing lights and navigational devices, and development of other support facilities. Negative effects include increased energy consumption as well as noise, air quality, and ground traffic impacts.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA HEW DOT USAF
State and local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80834)

Cedar Rapids Municipal Airport Runway Construction	08/25

Iowa
County: Linn
This project involves the acquisition of 1,077 acres of land, construction of a 950 foot extension to the northwest end of Runway 13-31, construction of two new 4,000 foot general aviation runways (8R-26L and 13R-31L), including taxi ways and lighting, addition to air carrier terminal and related improvements to the Cedar Rapids Municipal Airport located in Linn County, Iowa. The principal impacts include the removal of approximately 295 acres of prime farmland from production and relocation of 8 families.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI DOT GSA CCE EPA HUD
State and local Agencies
Individuals
(EPA ORDER # 80921)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft	Date
Centennial Parkway Project, CO-470	08/23

Colorado
County: Several
This proposal involves right-of-way acquisition and construction of approximately 25.6 miles of roadway which will connect I-25 with I-170 to be known as Centennial Parkway, CO-470. The Parkway will be constructed within a minimum of 300 feet of right-of-way with use permitted by trucks and buses. The no-build alternative is considered for purposes of comparison.
(FHWA-COLO-EIS-78-02-D)
(EPA CEDEB # 80912)

Port Everglades Expressway, Construction	08/30

Florida
County: Broward
This proposal concerns construction of Port Everglades Expressway which would extend approximately 8 miles from FL-817 (University Drive) to the proposed FL-A1A in Broward County, Florida. The facility is proposed as a limited access, four and six-lane expressway in the FL-84 corridor. Five alternatives are considered, all of which extend from FL-817 on the west to FL-A1A on the east. The project lengths of these alignments range from approximately 7.3 miles to 8.1 miles.
(FHWA-FLA-EIS-78-5-D)
(EPA CEDEB # 80954)

I-85/I-285 Separation, Red Oak - Clayton Co. Line	08/10

Georgia
County: Fulton
Proposed is the separation of I-85 and I-285 into independent interstate limited-access facilities where they are now in a common section located in Fulton County, Georgia. The separation would begin at the I-85/I-285/Spur 14 interchange and follow an alignment eastward for 1.3 miles to the I-85 airport loop interchange at the Clayton County line. In addition to no-build, three other alternatives are considered which involve improvement of the existing roadway and modified separations.
(FHWA-GA-EIS-77-06-D)
(EPA CEDEB # 80860)

Riverdale Avenue Arterial, Yonkers 08/21

New York
County: Westchester
This proposal is part of a long range improvement program in Yonkers City, Westchester County, New York. The project begins on Riverdale Avenue at the New York City line, and continues in a northerly direction for 1.6 miles to Manor House Square. The improvements proposed are, widening, resurfacing, construction of raised medians, separation of sanitary and storm sewers, signalization, channelization and installation of traffic signs.
(FHWA-NY-EIS-77-04F)
(EPA CEQIR # 80901)

Fayetteville Airport Connector to I-95 08/14

North Carolina
County: Cumberland
The proposed project consists of providing access between the Fayetteville Airport and planned I-95 by: Constructing an interchange on I-95 at or near NC-2219; and providing a two-lane facility between the new interchange and NC-2214. The proposed interchange will be located approximately 1.8 to 2.2 miles from the nearest planned interchange (at NC-87 relocated). Six alternatives considered include no-build, public transportation, a northern interchange location, and partial relocation and improvements.
(FHWA-NC-EIS-78-04-F)
(EPA CEQIR # 80879)

I-440, From I-40 to I-24, Nashville 09/17

Tennessee
County: Davidson
Proposed is the improvement of crosstown transportation in Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee. The project, to be known as I-440, has been planned to provide the principal high capacity facility for the circumferential travel demand. Five alternatives are presently under study which include: 1) present design, a six-lane limited-access highway, 2) reduced facility, four lane limited-access, 3) the boulevard, a four lane urban street with at-grade, signalized intersections and additional turn lanes where needed, and 4) no-build. The build alternatives include a two and one-half mile long bikeway.
(FHWA-TN-EIS-78-06D)
(EPA ORDER # 80389)

N.E. 12th Street, City of Bellevue 08/07

Washington
Proposed is the extension of N.E. 12th Street from Bellevue Way and extending west to 100th Avenue N.E. along the northern boundary of the Bellevue Central Business District, King County, Washington. This business arterial will consist of constructing 5 lanes transitioned to 4 between Bellevue Way west to 100th Avenue N.E. The proposed action also includes widening of 100 Avenue N.E. to a 4 lane section roadway between N.E. 10th and12th. Considered are two corridor alternatives and two design alternatives.
(FHWA-WA-EIS-78-04-D)
(EPA ORDER # 80851)

WI-31, WI-20 to CTH "MM" Section 08/29

Wisconsin
County: Racine
Proposed is the reconstruction of WI 20 to CTH-"MM' in Racine County, Wisconsin. Design alternates to implement the proposed action are available and, while requiring additional right-of-way, the existing roadway alignment would be used. Alternate A features twin 36-foot roadways separated by a 24-foot raised median and a curb and gutter system. Alternate B would provide twin 23-foot roadways without any median separation, 6-foot twin 23-foot roadways without any drainage system.
(FHWA-WISC-EIS-78-02-D)
(EPA ORDER # 80943)

Final **Date**

US 98, FAP Route 9, Miss. line to Wilmer 08/02

Alabama
County: Mobile
Proposed is the relocation of the existing US 98 beginning at the Mississippi State line and ending approximately one mile east of Wilmer, Mobile County, Alabama. The relocation would follow alternative 3 of the draft EIS and would create a rural four lane type facility with a basic right-of-way width of 300 feet. The total length of the project would be 6.28 miles. Four alternatives were considered in this proposal.
(FHWA-ALA-EIS-77-03-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD USCG DOI COE FEW USDA
(EPA ORDER # 80833)

White River Bridge at St. Charles 08/02

Arkansas
County: Monroe
Proposed is construction of a new bridge on Arkansas Highway #1 across the White River at St. Charles. The project will also provide approximately 7 miles of approaches through the White River National Wildlife Refuge, which is a section 4(f) property. Three location alternatives are considered for the bridge. Adverse impacts include increased air and noise pollution and destruction of 14 acres of wetlands. (Region 6)
(FHWA-ARK-EIS-77-02-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA USCG FEC DOI GSA COE
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Individuals
(EPA ORDER # 80832)

Route 7, Norwalk to Danbury 08/16

Connecticut
County: Fairfield
Proposed is the relocation of Route 7 from the vicinity of I-95 in Norwalk to the vicinity of I-84 in Danbury all within Fairfield County, Connecticut. The entire project covers approximately 20 miles, and would be built as an expressway on a new alignment with control of access, and would vary from 2 to 3 through lanes in each direction. All feasible locations for the relocation pass through a portion of Wooster State Park in Danbury.
(FHWA-CONN-EIS-74-01-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC EPA AHP FEC FEW HUD DOI
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80888)

U.S. 26, Granite Hill-Swan Valley 08/03

Idaho
County: Bonneville
The proposed project is located on U.S. Highway 26 between Milepost 368.5 (Granite Hill) and 377.0 (Swan Valley) in Bonneville County, Idaho. Descending Granite Hill, the project would continue through Conant Valley, cross the Snake River on a new bridge and terminate in Swan Valley. The project would be a rural, primary highway approximately 8.5 miles long with a basic right of way width of 200 feet. Adverse effects include a loss of prime wildlife habitat in the river area up to 40 acres. The possibility of a 4(f) involvement exists. (Region X)
(FHWA-IDA-EIS-78-06-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA ARP HUD CCE DOT
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80840)

U.S. 95, Ferdinand - Craigmont 08/23

Idaho
County: Idaho Lewis
Proposed is the construction of U.S. 95 between the cities of Ferdinand and Craigmont in northcentral Idaho. Alternatives range from no major improvement, to several major improvements on, or realignment possibilities from, the present facility. Beginning about 0.6 mile southeast of Ferdinand, the improvement would continue northwest, terminating approximately 0.3 mile west of Craigmont. ID-64 would be extended along Main Street to Division Avenue in Craigmont, then south along Division Avenue to connect with US-95.
(FHWA-IDA-EIS-77-02-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80909)

S.R. 18 Improvement near Marion 08/07

Illinois
County: Grant
This statement proposes a highway improvement which would upgrade State Road 18 near Marion, Illinois. The project would begin at the Norfolk and Western Railroad on 3rd and 4th Streets and extend east approximately 2.0 miles to the completed four-lane rural limited access facility constructed under another project. The action would result in displacement of some residences and businesses, severence of some agricultural land, and reduction in wildlife habitat. (Region 5)
(FHWA-IND-EIS-77-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA DOI HUD
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80848)

Final — Date

S.R. 61 — 08/10

Ohio
County: Crawford

This action involves the widening, improving and relocating that portion of State Route 61 between the southern corporation line of Crestline to a point approximately 3200 feet north of the northern corporation line. The work includes a grade separation with the Penn-Central Transportation Company (Conrail) railroad tracks and a slab-top bridge over the East Branch of Paramour Creek. The project length is approximately 2.4 miles.
(FHWA-OHIO-EIS-72-16-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA HUD USDA
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80864)

Final Supplement — Date

WA-5, South 272nd Street — 08/14

Washington
County: King
The proposed project is the diamond interchange, park and ride lot, and flyer stop which is to be constructed on Interstate 5, South 272nd Street approximately ten miles south of the Seattle Corporate Limits in King County, Washington. This environmental impact statement for alternative design of the interchange was approved in 1973. The park and ride lot, designated as site a contains 500 stalls and a ramp level flyer stop. This report has been prepared to outline the impacts associated with the interchange and the inclusion of the park and ride lot and flyer stop.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI HUD USDA DOT EPA DOC
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80876)

Final

State Route 1201 at Salter Path 08/24

North Carolina
County: Carteret
The proposed action is the improvement of an approximate one mile section of NC-1201 in the area of the community of Salter Path and the Town of Indian Beach, Carteret County, North Carolina. NC-1201, east and west of Salter Path, has received approval for the construction of a two-lane road with shoulders. This action will serve as the connecting link between the improved sections of NC-1201. The new curb and gutter roadway will be contained within a 60 foot wide right-of-way.
(FHWA-NC-EIS-78-02-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA HEW CCE FHP DOI DOC
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80917)

I-20, I-30 to I-820, Tarrant & Parker Co. 08/25

Texas
County: Tarrant Parker
The proposed project calls for the construction of I-20 from the proposed junction with I-30, 1.0 miles west of the Tarrant-Parker County line, southeasterly to a junction with I-820 in the southwest area of the City of Fort Worth, adjacent to Benbrook, Texas. The proposed project will be a basic four-lane freeway, eight miles in length, with controlled access features. Adverse effects include the acquisition of 900 acres of land, disruption of wildlife, and construction-related pollution. (Region 6)
(FHWA-TEX-EIS-77-03-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI CCE DOI EPA USDA
State and Local Agencies
Individuals
(EPA ORDER # 80928)

Draft Supplement **Date**

FL-9/I-95, from FL-74 to Canal C-23 08/30

Florida
County: Palm Beach Martin
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in October 1972. Proposed is the construction of a six-lane rural highway, approximately 34 miles in length, located in Palm Beach and Martin Counties, Florida. The project would be a divided highway with full control of access. It would also be the last link with I-95 along the east coast of Florida, and, if constructed, would provide the user with non-stop travel from north Florida to Miami. Six alternatives are considered.
(FHWA-FLA-EIS-78-1-DS)
(EPA ORDER # 80953)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Final | Date

Rail Rapid Transit Ext., Chicago O-Hare Airport | 08/15

Illinois
County: Cook
The proposed project involves the extension of the Kennedy Rail Rapid Transit Line from its present terminus at Jefferson Park to O'Hare International Airport located in Chicago, Cook County, Illinois. The two track extension of the existing line would be constructed in the median of the Kennedy Expressway from Jefferson Park to the Northwest Tollway. Upon approaching the terminal area, the extension would go into a subway to be constructed beneath the existing park garage. The transit line would terminate in a stubbed station which would service the major airport terminals and adjacent facilities.
(UTMA-IL-03-0046)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD LCA DOT EPA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA CMDLR # 80685)

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Jack M. Heinemann
Advisor on Environmental Quality
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
825 North Capitol Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 275-6569

Draft | Date

Kerckhoff Project No. 96 | 08/25

California
County: Fresno Madera

Considered in this proposal is an application by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for a license to modify, operate and maintain the Kerckhoff Project, FERC No. 96, located on the San Joaquin River in Fresno and Madera Counties, California. The modified project would utilize the existing reservoir, powerhouse, and transmission facilities in addition to proposed new facilities. Alternatives include denial of application for license, alternative forms of power generation, methods of construction, facilities, and operational modes.

(FERC-EIS-0005-D)
(EPA ORDER # 80923)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Peter Cook
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room WSMW 537, 401 M Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0780

Final — Date

1981 Revised Evaporative Emission Regulations — 08/09

Proposed by EPA is the establishment of more stringent fuel evaporative emission regulations for light-duty vehicles (LDV) and light-duty trucks(LDT). The standard established for 1978 and later model year LDVs and LDTs is 6.0 grams of hydrocarbon per test when measured by the Sealed Housing for Evaporative Determination test procedure. The revised standard for 1981 and later model year LDVs and LDTs will be 2.0 grams per test using the SHED test procedure.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOE CWES
Businesses
(EPA CFDER # 80056)

Grain Elevator Industry, Performance Standards — 08/08

Proposed are standards of performance for the grain elevator industry under section III of the Clear Air Act. The proposed standards limit emissions of particulate matter from the following 8 affected facilities and their related air pollution control devices: each truck unloading station, each railroad hopper car and boxcar unloading station, equipment at each barge and ship unloading station, all grain handling operations, each grain dryer, each truck loading station, each railroad hopper car and boxcar loading station, and each barge and ship loading station. Beneficial effects are anticipated.
(EPA-450/2-77-001B)
COMMENTS MADE BY:
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA CFDER # 80855)

EPA, REGION 1

Draft — Date

Boston Area, Waste Treatment Management Plan — 08/03

Massachusetts
Proposed is the Water Quality Management Plan for the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission. Principle effects of the proposed action are: 1) improved water quality in the sur-

face waters of the region, 2) increased recreation and improved commercial shellfish industry, and 3) improvement and protection of regional groundwater supply. Five alternatives are considered which include: 1) method of treatment, 2) methods of treatment and disposal, 3) non-extension of sewer systems, 4) stormwater and combined sewer discharges, and 5) land development controls.
(EPA CRDER # 80542)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Sanders
Executive Director, Environmental Affairs Division
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 566-0405

Final — Date

Central Los Angeles Parking Facility — 08/17

California
County: Los Angeles
Proposed is the construction of a 1500 space parking facility on government-owned land directly behind the Federal Building at 300 North Los Angeles Street. The structure will provide space for official, visitor and employee carpool parking for both the Federal Building and the US Courthouse at 312 North Spring Street. Adverse impacts include construction-related pollution and increased levels of noise and possibly air pollution in the immediate vicinity of the parking facility.
COMMENTS MADE BY: Ant EPA ICC USDA COE ICT DLAB HUD
State and local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EPA CIDER # 80692)

DEPARTMENT OF HEW

Contact: Mr. Charles Custard
Director, Office of Environmental Affairs
Department of Health, Education and Welfare
Room 524 H2 HEW South
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201
(202) 245-7243

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Draft | Date

Animal Feed Subtherapeutic Antibacterial Agents | 08/02

This proposal concerns a series of actions recommended by the Bureau of Veterinary Medicine which would limit the use of subtherapeutic levels of tetracyclines (oxytetracycline and chlortetracycline) and penicillin in animal feeds. The objectives of the proposed actions are: 1) to restrict uses of tetracyclines and penicillin which might result in a reduction of their effectiveness in treating human and animal diseases; 2) to withdraw approval of a penicillin-containing premix which has not been shown effective.
(FEA ORDER # 80836)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft — Date

The Highlands Planned Unit Development — 08/25

Colorado
County: Arapahoe
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for a portion of the Highlands Planned Unit Development located in Arapahoe County, Colorado. The developer proposes to build 199 single family detached units on 247 acres of land. The master plan provides for 10.3 acres for a school site and 24.1 acres of open space.
(HUD-R.C.-8-EIS-77-X-D)
(EPA ORDER # 80926)

Makakilo Subdivision Development, Ewa, Oahu — 08/11

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
Proposed is the private development of a primarily residential community on 607 acres at Makakilo, Ewa, and Oahu Honolulu County, Hawaii, with an ultimate projected population of about 12,000. The development consists of 3,693 housing units including single family, townhouses and garden apartments on 378 acres, recreational facilities occupying 22 acres, 6 acres for schools and the balance in unimproved open space and rights of way.
(HUD-RC9-EIS-78-4D)
(EPA ORDER # 80875)

Woodland Hills Development, Woodbury — 08/04

Minnesota
County: Washington
The proposed action concerns the issuance of HUD mortgage insurance for Woodland Hills, City of Woodbury, Washington County, Minnesota. The development covers .31 square miles for which approximately 656 housing units are planned. These units will be designed as single-family detached, duplex, townhouses, condominium dwellings, in addition to a health care facility. Five alternatives are considered which include: 1) delayed staging of development, 2) low or moderate income housing, 3) change in density, 4) other land uses, and 5) no action.
(HUD-RC5-EIS-78-05(D))
(EPA ORDER # 80845)

Draft

Disposition of Fay Apartments, Cincinnati 08/21

Ohio
County: Hamilton
Proposed is the disposition of HUD owned property known as Fay Apartments which consist of 79.643 acres of hilltop land, 120 buildings and 1,025 rental housing units. The Fay Apartments are located in Cincinnati, Hamilton County, Ohio. Alternatives to this project include various land uses which consider total, limited or non-clearance. Also considered are methods of disposition which include: 1) no disposition, 2) public offering as all cash as is or with significant conditions, and 3) by negotiated sale.
(HUD-R05-EIS-78-04(D))
(EPA ORDER # 80898)

Plaza Renacimiento, Stage 3, San Juan 08/21

Puerto Rico
The proposed action involves development of 42.61 cuerdas of land in Rio Piedras, San Juan, Puerto Rico. The complete project consists of 10 towers providing 2,500 apartments, approximately, for low and moderate income families. This statement addresses the third stage of the project which includes construction of four towers, a recreational plaza, a swimming pool, a passive park and a cultural center. Application has been made for home mortgage insurance funding through HUD.
(EPA ORDER # 80914)

Westglen Subdivision 08/07

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance to the Jackrabbit Development Company, Incorporated concerning development of the Westglen Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. The proposed subdivision will encompass approximately 632 acres, and is expected to consist of approximately 2,500 dwelling units. Westglen will be low density housing development with approximately four, single family dwellings per acre.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78)
(EPA ORDER # 80850)

Pheasant Creek Subdivision 08/08

Texas
County: Fort Bend
Proposed is the approval of application for HUD home mortgage insurance by US Corporation of Stafford in conjunction with the development of Pheasant Creek Subdivision located

in Fort Bend County, Texas. When completed the subdivision will encompass approximately 350 acres, and is expected to consist of approximately 1,275 dwelling units. As a planned community, Pheasant Creek will consist of sirgle family homes, three unrestricted reserves, sites for a water plant and a recreation area.
(HUD-RCo-EIS-78)
(EPA CIDER # 80855)

Draft

Southbrook Addition Subdivisior, Fort Worth 08/14

Texas
County: Tarrant
Proposed for consideration is an applicatior for HUD home mortgage insurance submitted by Centennial Homes, Incorporated. The subdivision involved, Southbrook, will cover approximately 123 acres and certain about 546 single family units with an expected populatior of 1,900. The project is located in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas.
(HUD-RCo-EIS-76-30(D))
(EPA CIDER # 80877)

Keegans Glen Development 08/22

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is for HUD to accept for home mortgage insurance purposes some 345 acres of land located in the southwest section of Harris County, Texas. It is proposed that this tract of land be developed into a subdivision composed primarily of single family residences, patio homes, multi-family, and commercial reserves. The expected population of the subdivision, known as Keegans Glen, will be around 9,500.
(HUD-RCo-EIS-76-36D)
(EPA CIDER # 80902)

Springfield Subdivisior 08/28

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance as applied for by the First General Realty Corporation of Houstor for the Springfield Subdivisior, located in Harris County, Texas. When completed the subdivisior, which encompasses approximately 515.3 acres of land, is expected to consist of about 1,770 dwelling units. A total of 86 acres have been held in reserve for the following purposes: 1) commercial (office/retail) use, 2) recreatior, 3) apartments, and 4) church facilities.
(HUD-RCo-EIS-37D)
(EPA CIDER # 80926)

Draft Date

Homestead Planned Community 08/30
Washington
County: Spokane
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the homestead planned community located in Spokane County, Washington. The development will encompass 1,175 acres of land and will consist of community shopping facilities, an industrial park and campus, areas for institutional services, a 2,534 unit residential community, and public services including recreational and educational facilities.
(HUD-R10-EIS-78-2D)
(EPA ORDER # 80955)

Date

Partial Development In Dover Valley, Fairfield 08/03

California
County: Solano
Proposed is the development of 300 acres of vacant agricultural land into a residential subdivision which will provide 862 single family homes, 165 multiple homes and 224 townhouses in Solano County, California. Application has been made for HUD home mortgage insurance funds by the Hofmann Construction Company. Also included as part of the proposal is the development of a 20 acres community in combination with 23 acres for school sites.
(HUD-R09-EIS-1978-ID)
(EPA ORDER # 80837)

Westbourne Subdivision 08/29

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action concerns the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the Westbourne Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. The subdivision is located on 1,500 acres of land and when completed, in approximately 12 years, will contain approximately 7,200 dwelling units. Also included as part of the subdivision are shipping and recreation facilities.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-41D)
(EPA ORDER # 80941)

Paddock Subdivision 08/29

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the Paddock Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. When completed, the subdivision, which encompasses approximately 850 acres, is expected to consist of approximately 3,815 single family and patio homes. Also included as part of the project are shopping and recreation facilities.
(HUD-R06-EIS-39-D)
(EPA ORDER # 80944)

Stone Creek Subdivision 08/29

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the Stone Creek Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. The subdivision encompasses 316 acres of land and will be developed with primarily single family residential and some patio homes totaling approximately 1,319 units. When completed, the subdivision is expected to house approximately 4,461 people.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-40D)
(EPA ORDER # 80950)

Final Date

Concept 80 West Development, Lakewood 08/09

Colorado
County: Jefferson
Proposed is the granting of HUD/FHA mortgage insurance for the Concept 80 West housing development in Lakewood, Jefferson County, Colorado. Plan implementation calls for the construction of 168 single family houses and 662 multi-family units. Adverse impacts include increased water usage; soil erosion; increased demand on social services; and construction-related pollution.
(HUD-L.Q.-E-EIS-78-X-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI ARF CCE
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80858)

Final

Cherry Lane Village, Meridian 08/29

Idaho
County: Ada
The action involved is the approval by HUD of an application for mortgage insurance purposes concerning development of Cherry Lane Village, Meridian City, Ada County, Idaho, by the Leavitt NuPacific Company. The planned residential community will have a land area of 330 acres and will include 880 detached and attached single family homes, 180 units of multi-family homes, 6 acres of commercial area, and a golf course. The development will provide housing for approximately 1,100 families.
(HUD-R10-EIS-78-3F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE APE DOI
State Agencies
(EPA Order # 80945)

Oakland Park Towers Development, Troy 08/28

Michigan
County: Oakland
Oakland Park Towers is proposed for a 21-acre site in southeast Troy, Oakland County, Michigan. The project will ultimately contain 800 units, and have a resident population of about 1500 persons. The current HUD proposal is to obtain approval of mortgage insurance under Section 23 of the Housing Act for phase II, a single high-rise structure containing 302 units and 10.5 acres.
(HUD-RCO-EIS-78-4(F))
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA
State and Local Agencies
Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80938)

Varus Gardens Development 08/14

Puerto Rico
The proposed action involves the urbanization of a tract of land of approximately 409 cuerdas (1 cda.= .97 acres) located in the Sabana Llana Ward of Rio Piedras. The plans have been approved by the pertinent Commonwealth agencies; they are comprised of a total of 1,450 units to be developed in two main stages. Adverse effects include construction-related pollution, increases in surface runoff and population density, and an increased demand for all public services.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD VA DOI FEW EPA
State Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80880)

Final

Farmington Subdivision, Knoxville 08/28

Tennessee
County: Knox
Proposed is the development of Farmington Subdivision, a 650 lot single-family subdivision in West Knox County, Tennessee. It is located in a sector of Knox County that is growing rapidly. There is a substantial amount of undeveloped land in this area and may be developed for single-family use. The area chosen for study is bounded on the south by Fort Loudon Lake, on the west by Fox Road, on the north by Kingston Pike and Westland Drive and on the east by Wright Ferry Road. The project will be developed on about 310 acres with 65 acres being developed under the early start procedures.
(HUD-RC-E1S-77-18D)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA HEW AHP TVA DOC GSA DOE
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80935)

Tallow Wood Subdivision 08/21

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is for the Department of HUD to accept some 224 acres of land located in the northwestern portion of Harris County, Texas, for HUD-FHA Home Mortgage Insurance under Section 203(B) of Title II of the National Housing Act of 1949. It is proposed that this tract of land be developed into a subdivision composed primarily of single family dwellings (approximately 1000 units).
(HUD-RC6-E1S-78-27F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA CEQ DOI AHP
State Agencies
Groups
(EPA ORDER # 80897)

Chimney Hill Subdivision 08/22

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the development of 291 acres into a planned community composed of single family homes located in Harris County, Texas. The proposed project will provide housing for app. 4000 people. Adverse effects include increased loading of solid waste disposal sites; increased groundwater consumption, and increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence upon the automobile for transportation.
(HUD-RC6-E1S-78-28F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP CEQ DOI EPA
State Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80903)

Bear Creek Village Subdivision 08/28

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is for the Department of HUD to accept for HUD/FHA mortgage insurance purposes the 662-acre Bear Creek Village Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. When completed in approximately five years, the subdivision will contain approximately 1,846 single-family homes plus some attached single-family and multi-family housing, and shopping and recreational facilities. Adverse impacts include the loss of agricultural land and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation.
(HUD-RC6-EIS-25F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA CCE LSLA DOI
State Agencies
(EPA CEDER # 80933)

Park Meadows Subdivision, Deer Park 08/28

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is for the Department of Housing and Urban Development to accept for HUD-FHA home mortgage insurance purposes under Section 203 (b) of Title II of the National Housing Act of 1934, some 267 acres of land located in the City of Deer Park, Harris County, Texas. It is proposed that this tract of land be developed into a subdivision composed primarily of single family dwellings (approximately 1000 units).
(HUD-RC6-EIS-78-26F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AET USDA DOI CCE EPA
State Agencies
Groups
(EPA CEDER # 80934)

Piper's Meadow Subdivision 08/29

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the granting of HUD-FHA mortgage insurance for the development of the 282-acre Piper's Meadow Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. The proposed subdivision will contain approximately 1000 single family homes, shopping facilities and recreational facilities. Adverse impacts include the loss of agricultural land and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation.
(HUD-RC6-EIS-78-29F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA CCE EPA DOI
State Agencies
Groups
(EPA CEDER # 80948)

Final

Regency Woods, Proposed Master Plan 08/11

Washington
County: King
This proposal concerns an application for HUD home mortgage insurance funds by IPCID, Inc., for the Regency Woods proposed subdivision in King County, Washington. Application has been made for preliminary subdivision approval on, and zone classifications within a 228 acre site, and mortgage insurance for 501 single-family dwellings or 130.40 acres of land. The project will also include approximately 196 rental apartments, 160 condominium units and 75,000 square feet of retail/service commercial space.
(HUD-R10-EIS-78-F1)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI DOE CCE ICI
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80873)

Section 104(h)

Draft Date

Community Development, San Jose, 3 Year Program 08/16

California
County: Santa Clara
Proposed is a Community Development Block Grant Three Year Plan for the City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California during the period of July 1978 through June 1981. This plan consists of numerous individual projects either concentrated in specific residential neighborhoods or dispersed in one or two larger planning areas. Neighborhood projects include: 1) housing rehabilitation loans and grants, 2) improvement or construction of public parks and facilities, and 3) improvement of community facilities. Areawide projects include: 1) interim rehabilitation/relocation assistance, 2) historical preservation loans, and 3) other features.
(EPA ORDER # 80887)

Lincoln Park Neighborhood Revitalization 08/24

Colorado
County: Denver
Proposed is the intensive, housing-oriented revitalization of Lincoln Park Neighborhood located in Denver County, Colorado with the use of HUD/UDAG funds. Activities of the project feature: 1) elimination of blighting industrial uses, business encroachment and speculation in the neighborhood, 2) discouraging out-migration of middle-income households through near downtown development, 3) improvement of shopping services and living environment, and 4) conservation of the existing housing stock.
(EPA ORDER # 80916)

Capitol Commons Project, Redevelopment, Lansing 08/03

Michigan
County: Ingham
The City of Lansing has applied for community development block grant funding from HUD to redevelop a six block area in the Lansing, Michigan Central City Area for residential purposes. The project proposes to raze the deteriorated housing currently on the site, with the exception of approximately 60 housing units on the western portion of the site, and redevelop the site with approximately 600 new housing units for a total of 660 housing units on a 52 acre site. The types of units involved are an elderly high-rise, midrise apartments, garden apartments, and townhouses.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80838)

Rochester Circulation, Central Business District 08/25

New York
County: Monroe
The proposed action is a request by the City of Rochester for HUD Block Grant funds for a circulation plan for the City of Rochester's Central Business District, Monroe County, New York. The action involves the conversion of three-tenths of a mile of Main Street into a pedestrian/transit with widened sidewalks. Main Street between St. Paul Street and Chestnut Street will become a two lane curbed roadway section with bus lanes at stops. To accomodate traffic volume, reclassification of key throughfares will be made in the core retail area, and new street construction will be required.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80927)

Final — Date

Eastern North Philadelphia Plan — 08/10

Pennsylvania
County: Philadelphia
The proposed action is the application by the City of Philadelphia for Community Development Block Grant funds from HUD. This proposal has identified the Eastern North portion of the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for various concentrated, long term action. These activities include: 1) housing rehabilitation, 2) loans and grants, 3) site improvements, 4) public services and facilities, and 5) economic development.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80865)

Church Street and Huntersville II Redevelopment — 08/23

Virginia
County: Chesapeake
Proposed is a request by the City of Norfolk for Community Development Block Grant Funds from HUD. This statement describes two projects which are segments of the Urban Ring Project for redevelopment and conservation of the urban core of the City of Norfolk, Chesapeake County, Virginia. The two projects described and considered are the Church Street and Huntersville II project. The actions involved include acquisition of property; relocation of business, individuals and families, clearance of deteriorated structures; upgrading, of certain public improvements and rehabilitation or privately owned buildings. Cleared land would be available for redevelopment or public use within the project scope.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80908)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Voss A. Moore
Assistant Director for Environmental Projects
P-518
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-8446

Final Supplement **Date**

Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station Unit No. 1 08/30

Texas
County: Austin
The proposed action is the issuance of a construction permit to the Houston Lighting and Power Company for the construction of Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1 located in Austin County, Texas. This draft supplemental statement has been prepared to update a FEIS filed with CEQ in November 1974. Major changes in the station design include: (1) reduction in gross electrical generating capacity; (2) reduction in the number and size of associated facilities; (3) reduction in the cooling lake surface area; (4) addition of an external dam along the northern lake perimeter; (5) reduction in estimated water use requirements and (6) redesign of waste systems. (NUREG-0428)
(EPA CADE # 80952)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Harry G. Moore, Jr.
Acting Director, Division of Environmental Planning
Tennessee Valley Authority
268 401 Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(615) 755-3161 FTS 854-3161

Draft | Date

Ocoee No. 2 Hydro Plant, Rehabilitation | 03/18

Tennessee
County: Polk
Proposed is the rehabilitation work that would restore the flume and crib dam of the Ocoee No. 2 Hydro Plant located in Polk County, Tennessee to a safe integral part of the TVA hydroelectric generating system. Three alternatives are considered which include: 1) facility retirement consisting of the removal of the crib dam and flume, sealing of penstocks and sale of equipment, 2) a rockfill dam addition, replacement of trestles, and 1,800 feet of wooden flume, and 3) construction of a new concrete dam, replacement of trestles and 1,800 feet of wooden flume.
(EPA ORDER # 80893)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army

Contact: George Q. Cunney, Jr.
Acting Chief, Environmental Office
Office of the Assistant Chief of Engineers
Department of the Army
Room 1E676, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) 694-4269

Final — Date

Disposal of Hydrogen Cyanide, Tooele Army Depot — 08/18

Utah
County: Tooele
The proposed action consists of detoxifying 281 pounds of the chemical agent hydrogen cyanide which is stored in two bombs at Tooele Army Depot, Tooele County, Utah. The two bombs will be moved to an incinerator facility where the hydrogen cyanide will be removed by pressurization of the bombs with nitrogen and introduced via a feed/control system into the incinerator which will be operated at a temperature of 1100 F. Effluent gases from the incinerator will pass through an afterburner and be discharged into the atmosphere.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD USA
(EPA ORDER # 80894)

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Mr. Barry Flamm
Coordinator
Environmental Quality Activities
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Room 359A
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Forest Service

Draft — Date

Upper Rogue Planning Unit, Rogue River NF — 08/24

Oregon
County: Douglas Jackson Klamath
The proposed action is to develop a land management plan for 208,355 acres of National Forest Land in the Upper Rogue Planning Unit. There are 6,375 acres of other ownership within the unit boundary. The planning unit occupies parts of Douglas, Jackson and Klamath Counties, Oregon. Five alternatives are considered, each of which offer a different combination of land allocations. Management direction for the allocations yield outputs for wood, forage, wildlife habitat and outdoor recreation opportunities.
(USDA-FS-R6-DES-(ADM)-78-14)
(EPA ORDER # 80919)

Ferron-Price Planning Unit, Manti-LaSal NF — 08/15

Utah
County: Several
Proposed are five alternatives for management of the Ferron-Price Planning Unit. The planning unit includes 531,500 acres of the Manti-LaSal National Forest in Carbon, Emery, Sanpete, Utah and Sevier Counties, Utah. The five alternatives consider features such as: 1) wilderness classification of inventoried roadless area, 2) timber harvest/mineral resource uses, 3) emphasis on wildlife habitats, water production, and recreation, and 4) live-stock grazing and forage production.
(USDA-FS-R4-DES(ADM)-78-7)
(EPA ORDER # 80882)

Kittitas Land Management Plan, Wenatchee NF — 08/02

Washington
County: Kittitas Chelan
The proposed action consists of developing a plan of management for 109,000 acres of land in the Wenatchee National Forest, Kittitas and Chelan Counties, Washington. There are six alternatives considered in this statement. The preferred

alternative would provide for: 1) high production of wood fiber and forage, 2) maintenance of big game winter range, and elk coverage, 3) forms of dispersed recreation, and 4) maintenance of the natural ecosystems of the Taneum Lake Area for scientific study.
(USDA-FS-R6-DES(ADM)-78-15)
(EPA ORDER # 80831)

Final — Date

Diamond Creek Unit, Caribou N.F. — 08/22

Idaho
County: Caribou Bear Lake
The statement concerns management alternatives for the 204,029 acre Diamond Creek Planning Unit in Caribou National Forest. The four alternatives included range from high levels of resource use which would have major effects on wildlife, fisheries and roadless areas to emphasis of the natural environment which would have a major negative effect upon grazing and timber harvest and significant beneficial effects on wildlife and fisheries. Soil and water resources are less impacted by any of the alternatives than by the mining levels.
(USDA-FS-FES(Adm)-R4-76-15)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD
State Agencies
Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80907)

Waterville Unit Plan, White Mtn. N.F. — 08/24

New Hampshire
County: Grafton Carroll
Proposed is a land use plan for 57,000 acres of National Forest land located in Grafton and Carroll Counties, New Hampshire. Major points under the proposed action include: no recommendation for Wilderness Study, MAIV designation of 4,500 acres, timber sales producing approximately 3.4 million board feet per year, 1,700 acres of timber stand improvement, 15 miles of new hiking trails -- deletion of 1.5 miles, and construction of 21 miles of timber access roads. Major impacts include production and harvest of wood fiber on approximately 6100 acres, and construction of 24 miles of permanent roads.
COMMENTS MADE BY: ARP DOI EPA FEA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80915)

Rogue River N.F. Timber Plan — 08/03

Oregon California
The proposed action is to implement a revised 10-year Timber Management Plan for the Rogue River National Forest. The existing Plan was approved in 1962 and has since become

obsolete. This statement deals with the intensity and type of timber management on those lands which have been classified as commercial forest land available for timber production by the Land Management Planning process. The Rogue River National Forest includes portions of Douglas, Jackson, Josephine, and Klamath Counties in Oregon and Siskiyou County in California.
(USDA-FS-R6-FES(Adm)-77-14)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USDA FERC DOE AHP HUD DOC SBA EPA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80843)

Final

Umpqua N.F., Timber Plan 08/21

Oregon
County: Douglas Lane Jackson
Proposed is the implementation of a revised ten year Timber Management Plan for the Umpqua National Forest. The proposed sell level of 348.5 million board feet per year is based on a level of intensive management that can be realistically programmed during the plan period. Adverse effects include the temporary baring of soil to erosive forces through timber harvest and road construction activities.
(USDA-FS-R6-FES(Adm)77-8)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC COE HEW DOI HUD EPA AHP
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80900)

Sabine Unit Plan, Sabine N.F. 08/25

Texas
County: Several
Proposed is the implementation of a 10-year management plan for the Sabine Unit. The unit includes the entire Sabine National Forest, comprising 187,081 acres in Jasper, Newton, Sabine, Shelby, and San Augustine Counties, Texas. Major actions proposed include timber harvest and site preparation; increased diversity of wildlife habitat with emphasis on tree squirrels; road construction and reconstruction; and establishment of special interest areas. Adverse effects include temporary shifts in wildlife populations, temporary increases in soil movement, and removal of land from timber production.
(USDA-FS-R8-FES-ADM-77-01)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP FPC USDA DOI
State Agencies
Groups and Individuals
(EPA ORDER # 80930)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft — Date

115kV Transmission Line, Lake City to Creede — 08/11

Colorado
County: Hinsdale Chaffee Alamosa

Proposed is the future installation of approximately 44 miles of a 115 kV transmission line to deliver additional power and energy from Lake City, Hinsdale County, to San Luis Valley Rural Electric Cooperative at Monte Vista Colorado. Due to the present critical demands at Creede and elsewhere in the San Luis Valley it is proposed that the original financing be applied towards an alternate proposal while the Lake City to Creede line is being studied. This statement discusses the original proposal and alternatives.
(USDA-REA-EIS(ADM)-78-8-D)
(EPA CEDEB # 80872)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft — Date

Bois d'Arc Bayou Watershed Plan — 08/07

Arkansas
County: Little River

Proposed is a watershed project for the Bois d'Arc Bayou located in Little River County, Arkansas. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment, 7.6 mile of channel work, 19.7 miles of associated onfarm measures, and a water-level control structure. The channel work will involve 0.1 mile clearing and debris removal within existing channels, 2.2 miles of new channel construction, and 5.3 miles of channel enlargement by excavation. Six alternatives were considered.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS(ADM)-78-1-(D)AB)
(EPA CEDEB # 80849)

Final — Date

Roosevelt Water Conserv. District Floodway — 08/21

Arizona
County: Maricopa Pinal

Proposed is the construction of a structural measure for watershed protection and flood prevention located in Maricopa and Pinal Counties, Arizona. The flood prevention purposes will be achieved by installing 27.36 miles of floodway. The floodway will provide an outlet for flood flows, for existing and planned floodwater structures, through the Gila River Indian Reservation to the Gila River. It will also provide an outlet for the coordinated system of floodwater drains that will be installed

between the existing and planned floodwater retarding structures and the floodway.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-76-3-(F)-AZ)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USAF CCI DOI EPA AEE
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EPA ORDER # 80899)

Final

Sabanna River Watershed 08/08

Texas
County: Callahan Comanche Eastland
Proposed is a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention for Sabanna River Watershed, Texas. Project objectives are the proper use, treatment, and management of soil and water resources in the watershed; obtaining a 70% flood damage reduction; and stimulation of the economic development of the area. The proposed plan calls for installation of 15 floodwater retarding structures over an 8 year period. This project is located in the Counties of Callahan, Comanche and Eastland.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-78-1-(F)-(TX))
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI EPA USDA
State Agencies
Groups
(EPA ORDER # 80854)

Watershed Plan, Upper San Marcos River 08/10

Texas
County: Comal Hays
The purpose of the plan is to protect about 60,780 acres of the Upper San Marcos River Watershed from flooding, improve water resources and provide additional recreational facilities, in the counties of Comal and Hays, Texas. The proposed action consists of five floodwater retarding pools and a sediment pool. These facilities will detain 82.3 percent of the watershed runoff and will occupy 1,1429 acres of land. Conservation land treatment of about 9,300 acres of privately owned lands have been provided for in the plan through local interests.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-78-2-F-TX)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI AEE HEW CEC
State and Local Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80807)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Ivars V. Mellups
Office of the General Counsel
Civil Aeronautics Board
1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20428
(202) 673-5205

Final — Date

VA Cemetery at Riverside, California — 08/23

California
County: Riverside
Proposed is the development of a new cemetery for the interment of veterans at Riverside, California. The 750 acre site will include approximately 500 burial acres with a capacity of 437,000 burials, plus additional space for columbariums. Initial construction will supply burial sites for 40,000 interments, an Administration Building, Maintenance Center, and a Water Impoundment Area which will serve a dual function not only as a vocal point of landscape, but as storage for irrigation water. Adverse impacts include additional traffic generated by funeral processions and visitors to the site.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USAF EPA HUD DOI DOT
State Agencies
(EPA ORDER # 80597)

The above EIS was originally filed with EPA on June 2, 1978 and published in the Federal Register dated June 9, 1978. It was retracted for nondistribution at the time of official filing and republished with the new date above.

SUMMARY OF 102 STATEMENTS FILED THROUGH August 1978

(By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for Actions on which no Final 102's have been rec.	Final 102's on Legislation and Actions	Suppl.	Total Action on which Dra or Final Sta have been re
Agriculture, Department of	207	934	19	1160
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	0	1
Canal Zone Government	0	2	0	2
Civil Aeronautics Board	1	3	0	4
Commerce, Department of	25	104	33	162
Consumer Product Safety Comm.	0	1	0	1
Defense, Department of	14	10	2	26
Air Force	9	31	2	42
Army	0	35	3	38
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	574	1228	85	1887
Navy	11	48	5	64
Delaware River Basin Comm.	3	8	0	11
Energy, Department of	3	9	2	14
Energy Policy Office	0	1	0	1
Energy Research & Devel. Admin.	4	18	0	22
Environmental Protection Agency	68	148	11	227
Federal Energy Administration	11	14	6	31
Federal Maritime Commission	3	0	0	3
Federal Energy Regulatory Comm.	85	72	6	163
Federal Trade Commission	1	0	0	1
General Services Administration	27	116	5	148
Great Lakes Basin Commission	1	0	0	1
HEW, Department of	3	20	0	23
HUD, Department of	95	440	11	546
Interior, Department of	165	439	13	617
International Boundary and Water Comm. -- U.S. and Mexico	0	10	0	10
Interstate Commerce Commission	12	19	0	31
Justice, Department of	2	3	0	5
Labor, Department of	5	9	0	14
Nat'l. Aeronautics & Space Admin.	10	27	5	42
Nat'l. Capitol Planning Comm.	5	9	0	14
Nat'l. Science Foundation	0	7	0	7
New England River Basins Comm.	3	0	0	3
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	54	197	17	268
Office of Science Technology	0	1	0	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	4	1	0	5
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corporation	0	1	0	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	0	1
State Department	5	8	0	13
Tennessee Valley Authority	9	33	0	42
Transportation, Department of	994	2514	66	3574
Treasury, Department of	4	7	0	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	2	0	0	2
U.S. Postal Service	3	6	0	9
U.S. Water Resources Council	7	9	0	16
Veterans Administration	7	12	0	19
TOTALS	2441	6555	291	9287

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

102 MONITOR

The 102 Monitor is a monthly publication of the Environmental Protection Agency. In addition to its listing of Environmental Impact Statements which have been filed under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; information on the environmental studies of the Council on Environmental Quality; and other matters of interest.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $20.00 annually, with an additional $5.00 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.70 domestic and $2.15 foreign and are available from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box SSOS, Washington, D.C. 20402.)

Prices revised May, 1978

CHANGE OF ADDRESS FORM

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

Mail this form to: NEW ADDRESS

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office SSOM
Washington, D.C. 20402

Attach last subscription label here.

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

ENTER MY SUBSCRIPTION TO: 102 MONITOR (MNT)

@ $20.00 Domestic; @ $25.00 Foreign

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

☐ Remittance Enclosed (Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents)

☐ Charge to my Deposit Account No. .....

MAIL ORDER FORM TO:
Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402